

# SPIRE Spectrometer Products and Data Processing Pipeline

#### Nanyao Lu NHSC/IPAC (on behalf of the SPIRE ICC, HSC & NHSC)





## Outline

- Background
- Pipeline data products
  - What products are there?
  - Which ones are the most relevant to you?
- Pipeline calibrations
  - What are the standard calibration steps?
  - What calibration accurcies can you expect?





## **SPIRE Spectrometer**

Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS): The entire spectral coverage of 194-671 micron is observed in one go!



nhsc

HIFICAC

esa



PACS

#### Probing Molecular, Atomic and Ionized Gases Mrk231





#### Fourier Transform: Interferogram to Spectrum







#### **Real World: Finite Interferogram**





# **Background Documents**

- **The SPIRE Data Reduction Guide** (DRG; data structure, processing, reprocessing, many details and cookbooks)
- **The SPIRE Handbook** (instrument observing modes, calibration...)
- Swinyard et al. 2014, MNRAS, 440, 3658 FTS calibration
- Makiwa et al. 2013, Applied Optics, 52, 3864 FTS beams
- <u>Wu et al. 2013, A&A, 556, 116</u> Semi-extended sources
- ...
- Public wiki on SPIRE
  <u>http://herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/bin/view/Public/SpireCalibrationWeb</u>





# **SPIRE Data Reduction Guide (DRG)**













## **Pipeline Data Products**









## **Observation Context**



Page 11

HIFERCE ODSC





# **Spectrometer Level 2 (and Level 1)**

**Sparse** observations contain spectra calibrated in **W/m2/Hz/sr** (extended) and in **Jy** (point source)



**Mapping** observations contain spectra and spectral cubes calibrated in **W/m2/Hz/sr** (extended):





## **Pipeline Calibrations**









### **Spectrometer Detector Time Line**



Hifizice



#### **Pipeline Step 1: Modify Timelines**



(cf. SPIRE DRG Sect. 7.3)





#### (cf. SPIRE DRG Sect. 7.3)



x = The difference between the 2 optical paths in the interferometer

esa



## **Pipeline Step 3: Modify Interferograms**



#### (cf. SPIRE DRG Sect. 7.3)





## **Pipeline Step 4: Fourier Transform**



Apply the Fourier Transform to each interferogram to create a set of spectra for each spectrometer detector. The spectra are in units of V/GHz, not yet flux calibrated.

(cf. SPIRE DRG Sect. 7.3)





#### What is in the Raw Spectrum?





### **Instrument Background Emission**



At about 4-5K, instrument emission is only significant at the long wavelength end of SLW.



Instrument temperature varies with time:





### **Telescope Background Emission**



Your observations are most likely dominated by the telescope emission!









PACS

#### **Telescope Background Emission: A Typical Case**



HIFICAC



## **Flux Calibration Scheme**



*Flux Density* in Jy assumes point-like emission

$$f = C_{point} I$$

Point source conversion factor (=  $R_{tel}/R_{point}$ )

RSRFs are empirically derived by observing a source with a known spectrum and dividing by a model:

**R**<sub>tel</sub>: Dark Sky (= the telescope) **R**<sub>point</sub>: Uranus

(See <u>Swinyard et al. 2014, MNRAS, 440, 3658</u>)





# Pipeline Step 5: Modify Spectra



\* Both unapodized and apodized spectra [using the default apodization func. NB(1.5)]





### **Pipeline Step 6: Create Level-2 Products**



\* Both unapodized and apodized data [using the default apodization func. NB(1.5)]

Hifi

esa



# **FTS beam profile**



See Makiwa et al. 2013, Applied Optics, 52, 3864





#### **Extended vs. Point Source Flux Calibration**



Both true point-source and fully extended-source cases are accurately Calibrated in the pipeline, but not so for the cases in between!

nhsc

esa



# Calibration Uncertainties (HIPE v11 onwards)

- Point sources observed on the centre detectors (SSWD4 and SLWC3):
  - Absolute uncertainty ±6%, with the following contributions:
    - i. Systematic uncertainty in Uranus model: ±3%
    - ii. Statistical repeatability (pointing corrected): ±1%
    - iii. Uncertainties in the instrument and telescope model additive continuum offset error of 0.4 Jy for SLW and 0.3 Jy for SSW
    - iv. The effect of the *Herschel* APE.

#### • Sparse observations of significantly extended sources:

- Absolute uncertainty **±7%**, with the following contributions:
  - i. Uncertainty comparing telescope and Uranus calibration: ±3%
  - ii. Systematic uncertainty in Uranus model: ±3%
  - iii. Systematic reproducibility of telescope model: 0.06%;
  - iv. Statistical repeatability estimated at ±1%
  - v. Additive continuum offset of  $3.4x10^{-20}$  W/m<sup>2</sup>/Hz/sr for SLW and  $1.1x10^{-19}$  W/m<sup>2</sup>/Hz/sr for SSW.
- Mapping mode:
  - Overall repeatability ±7%
- Wavelength calibration:
  - 5 7 km/s for line velocity.

esa

(See Swinyard et al. 2014, MNRAS, 440, 3658)

