

Rev 1.0

Date: 2023 Sep 27

REVISIONS

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **REV** | **DATE** | **DESCRIPTION** |
| 0.0 | 2022/11/16 | Initial Draft |
| 0.1 | 2023/02/17 | Draft with changes from mid-project review |
| 0.2 | 2023/07/03 | Content complete for all but Chapter 3 |
| 0.3 | 2023/09/08 | Content complete |
| 0.4 | 2023/06/15 | Edits and formatting |
| 1.0 | 2023/09/27 | Additional formatting |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

HAWC+ Handbook for Archive Users

**Table of Contents**

[1. Essential Information 1](#_Toc146716204)

[2. Instrument description 3](#_Toc146716205)

[2.1 HAWC+ Overview 3](#_Toc146716206)

[2.2 Observational Configuration and Modes 5](#_Toc146716207)

[2.2.1 Nod-Match-Chop Mode 5](#_Toc146716208)

[2.3 On-The-Fly Mapping (OTFMAP) 7](#_Toc146716209)

[2.3.1 History of OTFMAP Polarimetry 8](#_Toc146716210)

[2.3.2 HAWC+ Band B Warning 8](#_Toc146716211)

[3. INSTRUMENT pERFORMANCE 9](#_Toc146716212)

[3.1 Overview 9](#_Toc146716213)

[3.2 Sensitivity 9](#_Toc146716214)

[3.3 Data Quality Characteristics over Time 10](#_Toc146716215)

[3.3.1 Full Width Half Maximum 10](#_Toc146716216)

[3.3.2 Flux Calibration Variability 11](#_Toc146716217)

[4. DATA 14](#_Toc146716218)

[4.1 Data Product & Format 14](#_Toc146716219)

[4.1.1 File Naming Conventions 14](#_Toc146716220)

[4.1.2 Data Format 14](#_Toc146716221)

[4.1.3 Pipeline Products 14](#_Toc146716222)

[4.2 Correction for Atmospheric Opacity 16](#_Toc146716223)

[4.3 FLUX Calibration 17](#_Toc146716224)

[4.3.1 Instrumental Polarization 18](#_Toc146716225)

[4.3.2 Internal Calibrator: 18](#_Toc146716226)

[4.4 Data Artifact 18](#_Toc146716227)

[4.5 KNOWN ARTIFACTS & Mitigation 21](#_Toc146716228)

[4.5.1 Dark outline around an extended emission 21](#_Toc146716229)

[4.5.2 Low Scan Velocity Bleed Through 21](#_Toc146716230)

[4.5.3 Low CentroidExpMsec 23](#_Toc146716231)

[4.5.4 Tracking Error I 26](#_Toc146716232)

[4.5.5 Tracking Error II 26](#_Toc146716233)

[4.5.6 Incomplete HWP 28](#_Toc146716234)

[4.5.7 URD Vignetting 29](#_Toc146716235)

[4.5.8 Double Source 30](#_Toc146716236)

[4.5.9 “Peanut” PSF 32](#_Toc146716237)

[4.6 An example of basic polarization data inspection and visualization 33](#_Toc146716238)

[4.7 Observations at Higher Temperature 33](#_Toc146716239)

[5. Scientific Results 35](#_Toc146716240)

[5.1 Extended Source Polarimetry 35](#_Toc146716241)

[5.2 HAWC Legacy Surveys 35](#_Toc146716242)

[5.2.1 FIELDMAPS: Filaments Extremely Long and Dark: A Magnetic Polarization Survey 35](#_Toc146716243)

[5.2.2 SALSA: SOFIA Heralds a New Era of Measuring the Magnetic Fields of Galaxies 36](#_Toc146716244)

[5.2.3 A Two-Color Polarimetric Survey of the Galactic Center 37](#_Toc146716245)

[5.2.4 Study of Interstellar Magnetic Polarization: A Legacy Investigation of Filaments (SIMPLIFI) 37](#_Toc146716246)

[6. References 39](#_Toc146716247)

[Appendix 40](#_Toc146716248)

[A. Response/Transmission Curves 40](#_Toc146716249)

[B. Historical FWHM and Calibration Factors 41](#_Toc146716250)

[C. Color Correction Factors 44](#_Toc146716251)

[D. Important HAWC+ Header Keywords 46](#_Toc146716252)

[D.1 HISTORY Section of HAWC+ FITS Data Headers 50](#_Toc146716253)

# Essential Information

Table 1: HAWC+ Instrument Characteristics

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameters** | **Units** | **Band A** | **Band B** | **Band C** | **Band D** | **Band E** |
| **Mean Wavelength (λ0)** | μm | 53 | 63 | 89 | 154 | 214 |
| **Band Width (Δλ)1** | - | 8.70 | 8.90 | 17.00 | 34.00 | 44.00 |
| **Filter Width (Δλ /λ)** | - | 0.171 | 0.150 | 0.194 | 0.219 | 0.204 |
| **Beam Size (FWHM)** | arcsec | 4.85 | 10.50 | 7.80 | 13.60 | 18.20 |
| **Pixel Size2** | arcsec | 2.55 | 4.00 | 4.02 | 6.90 | 9.37 |
| **Total Intensity FOV** | arcmin | 2.8×1.7 | 4.2×2.7 | 4.2×2.7 | 7.4×4.6 | 8.4×6.2 |
| **Polarimetry FOV** | arcmin | 1.4×1.7 | 2.1×2.7 | 2.1×2.7 | 3.7×4.6 | 4.2×6.2 |
| **NESB3 (photo)** | MJy sr-1 h1/2 | 18.8 | 11.4 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| **MDCF4** | mJy | 250 | 400 | 300 | 260 | 230 |
| **Mapping Speed5** | **5** | 0.0027 | 0.0290 | 0.0290 | 1.1000 | 7.0000 |
| **MDCPF6** | Jy | 80 | 150 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| **IP7** | q | -0.0154 | - | -0.0151 | 0.0028 | -0.0129 |
| u | -0.0030 | - | 0.0090 | 0.0191 | 0.0111 |
| **MIfP** | MJy sr-1 h1/2 | 28,000 | 17,000 | 6,000 | 2,000 | 1,300 |

*1 See the filter response curves in Figure 28.*

*2 The center-to-center spacing of the pixels; pixel sizes (the space taken up by the photon sensitive area) are smaller by 0.21 arcsec at 53 μm and 0.75 arcsec at 215 μm.*

*3 Noise Equivalent Surface Brightness for S/N = 1 into a single HAWC+ beam (FWHM given here).*

*4 Minimum Detectable Continuum Flux for a point source with S/N = 4 in a 900 second integration.*

*5 Real scan rate required to achieve a given NESB. Units: arcmin2 h-1 (MJy sr-1)-2.*

*6 Minimum Total Continuum Flux for a point source required to measure the polarization fraction to an uncertainty level of σp < 0.3% with a SNR (in the polarization fraction) ≥ 4 in 900s.*

*7 Instrumental Polarization estimated using the observations of planets during several observing runs. The uncertainty of the instrumental polarization is smaller than 0.003 in both Stokes q and u. IP for Band B is still TBD.*

Table 2: HAWC+ Flight Series Information

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Flight Series** | **Flight Numbers** | **Deploy Location** | **Start Date** | **End Date** |
| **Commissioning I** | F293 − F295 | Palmdale, CA | 04/28/2016 | 05/05/2016 |
| **Commissioning II** | F334 − F335 | Palmdale, CA | 09/30/2016 | 10/07/2016 |
| **OC4L** | F353 − F360 | Palmdale, CA | 11/28/2016 | 12/16/2016 |
| **OC5E** | F393 − F397 | Palmdale, CA | 05/02/2017 | 05/16/2017 |
| **OC5N** | F440 − F454 | Palmdale, CA | 10/11/2017 | 11/17/2017 |
| **OC6I** | F480 − F487 | Christchurch, NZ | 08/20/2018 | 09/14/2018 |
| **OC6K** | F504 − F513 | Palmdale, CA | 09/15/2018 | 10/12/2018 |
| **OC6T** | F545 − F547 | Palmdale, CA | 02/08/2019 | 02/21/2019 |
| **OC7E** | F596 − F601 | Christchurch, NZ | 07/13/2019 | 08/06/2019 |
| **OC7F** | F605 − F621 | Palmdale, CA**1** | 08/30/2019 | 10/04/2019 |
| **OC7J** | F650 − F657 | Palmdale, CA | 01/11/2021 | 01/31/2021 |
| **OC8E** | F683 − F690 | Palmdale, CA | 09/08/2020 | 09/25/2020 |
| **OC8K** | F726 − F736 | Palmdale, CA | 04/15/2021 | 05/21/2021 |
| **OC9D** | F774 − F784 | Palmdale, CA | 08/21/2021 | 09/13/2021 |
| **OC9E** | F786 − F788 | Palmdale, CA | 10/23/2021 | 11/12/2021 |
| **OC9H** | F800 − F802 | Palmdale, CA | 12/05/2021 | 12/23/2021 |
| **OC9Q** | F879 − F886 | Palmdale, CA | 05/28/2022 | 06/10/2022 |
| **OC9R** | F889 − F895 | Christchurch, NZ | 06/20/2022 | 07/07/2021 |
| **OC9W** | F916 − F921 | Palmdale, CA | 09/20/2022 | 09/29/2022 |

*1Flight F611 on 09/18/2019 was deployed out of Stuttgart, Germany.*

**Important HAWC+ resources:**

* [Pipeline tutorial document](https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SOFIA/docs/data/data-pipelines/index.html#tutorials)
* [Pipeline tutorial video from pipeline week](https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SOFIA/docs/meetings-and-events/events/pipeline-week-hawc/index.html)
* [Calibration video from SOFIA School](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CgnQG8lE1vQ)
* [Data Reduction Pipeline User’s Manual](https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SOFIA/docs/data/data-pipelines/index.html#pipeline)
* [Principal Reference Paper on HAWC+](https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/S2251171718400081)

# Instrument description

## HAWC+ Overview

The High-resolution Airborne Wideband Camera (HAWC+) was a multi-wavelength far-infrared imager and polarimeter with continuum band passes from 50 µm to 240 µm. HAWC+ Total Intensity Imaging used a filter wheel and a polarizing grid to split incoming light into two orthogonal components of lineal polarization, the reflected (R) and transmitted (T) rays. For Imaging Polarimetry, a rotating half-wave plate (HWP) was introduced before the filter wheels. The instrument included a 64x40 array measuring the R polarization state and a 32x40 array for the T polarization state. HAWC+ observations were diffraction-limited with a spatial resolution of 5 to 20 arcsec and a field of view (FOV) of ~2 to 10 arcmin. The following section provides a brief introduction to the HAWC+ instrument, observing configuration and its operating modes. For a more complete description, see the instrument paper by ([Harper et al. 2018](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081), hereafter [H18](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081)).

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure *Fore optics components and the light path.*

A schematic of the HAWC+ optical design is shown in Figure 1. Prior to entering the HAWC+ cryostat, light from the SOFIA telescope entered the set of warm fore-optics. The light was reflected from a folding mirror to a field mirror, capable of imaging the SOFIA pupil at the cold pupil inside the HAWC+ cryostat. After the fore-optics, light entered the cryostat through a 7.6 cm diameter high-density polyethylene (HDPE) window, it passed through a cold pupil on a rotatable carousel, near-infrared blocking filters to define each bandpass, and lenses designed to optimize the plate scale. The pupil carousel and the filter wheel were at a temperature of ~10 K. The carousel contained eight aperture positions, four of which contained half wave plates (HWPs) for HAWC+ bands, an open aperture whose diameter was matched to the SOFIA pupil, and three aperture options meant only for instrument alignment tests.

Chart, histogram

Description automatically generated

Figure  *HAWC+ Filter Transmission*

The light then passed through the filter wheel, where five filters were available, labeled ‘A’ through ‘E’ in ascending order of wavelength. See Figure 2 and Table 1 for filter characteristics.

After the filter carousel, the light passed through a wire grid, which was heat-sunk to the HAWC+ 1 Kelvin stage, that reflected one component of linear polarization and transmitted the orthogonal component to the detector arrays (R and T arrays, respectively—see Figure 3). The R array was populated with two 32x40 subarrays separated by 2.0 pixels, referred to as R0 (top left) and R1 (top right). The arrays were coaligned such that R0 and T0 (bottom left) observed the same patch of sky. Polarization observations were *only* supported using the R0 and T0 subarrays, and therefore observations were limited to the left half of the nominal field of view, or 32x40 pixels. Total intensity observations were supported using the combined R0 and R1 field of view, or 64x40 pixels with a 2.0-pixel gap between them.

To perform polarimetry observations, an HWP matched to the band-pass was rotated (usually through four discrete angles) to modulate the incident light and allow computation of the Stokes parameters. The total intensity could be measured simply by removing the HWPs from the optical path and using the open pupil position, then summing the signal from the R and T arrays.

The 64x40 HAWC+ detector array was composed of two co-mounted 32x40 subarrays from NASA/GSFC and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The detectors were superconducting transition-edge sensor (TES) thermometers on membranes with a wide-band absorber coating. The detector array was an indium bump bonded to a matched array of superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) amplifiers, all cooled to an operating temperature of ~0.2 K in flight.

Figure 3 shows HAWC+ TES detector subarrays. R0 and R1 detected the reflected component of linear polarization and T0 detected the orthogonal component, the transmitted polarization.

A picture containing icon

Description automatically generated

Figure *HAWC+ TES detector subarrays.*

## Observational Configuration and Modes

The HAWC+ instrument had two instrument configurations for imaging (commonly referred to as Total Intensity) and polarization observations. In both types of observations, removing background flux due to the telescope and sky was a challenge that required one of several observational strategies. The HAWC+ instrument used the secondary mirror to chop rapidly between two positions (source and sky), used discrete telescope motions to nod between different sky positions, or used slow continuous scans of the telescope across the desired field. In chopping and nodding strategies, sky positions were subtracted from source positions to remove background levels. In scanning strategies, the continuous stream of data was used to solve for the underlying source and background structure.

HAWC+ had two standard observing modes for imaging: the Nod-Match-Chop (NMC) instrument mode which combined traditional chopping with nodding and the On-The-Fly Mapping (OTFMAP) mode which used slow telescope scans without chopping. Likewise, polarization observations could be taken in either Nod-Pol or Scan-Pol mode. Nod-Pol mode included chopping and nodding cycles in multiple HWP positions; Scan-Pol mode included repeated scans at multiple HWP positions.

### Nod-Match-Chop Mode

To remove the background from the mid-infrared (MIR) image and detect the faint astronomical sources, observations of another region (free of sources) were made, and the two images were subtracted. However, since the MIR is highly variable, both spatially and temporally, it would take far too long (on the order of seconds) to reposition a large telescope to observe this sky background region. By the time the telescope had moved and settled at the new location; the sky background level would have changed so much that the subtraction of the two images would be useless. To avoid this problem, the secondary mirror of the telescope (which was considerably smaller than the primary mirror) was tilted, rather than moving the entire telescope. This allowed observers to look at two different sky positions very quickly (on the order of a few to ten times per second), because tilting the secondary by an angle θ moved the center of the field imaged by the detector by θ on the sky. Tilting the secondary between two positions was known as “chopping”. HAWC+ observations were typically made with a chopping frequency of 10 Hz.




Figure *Symmetric chopping in Nod-Match-Chop (NMC) mode.*

On SOFIA chopping could be done either symmetrically or asymmetrically about the telescope’s optical axis, but HAWC+ used only symmetric chopping where the secondary mirror was tilted symmetrically about the telescope optical axis (also known as the boresight) in the two chop positions. The distance between the two chop positions was known as the chop throw. The distance between the boresight and either chop position was known as the chop amplitude and was equal to half the chop throw as shown in Figure 4.

Unfortunately, moving the secondary mirror caused the telescope to be slightly misaligned, which introduced optical distortions in the images—notably, the optical aberration known as coma and additional background emission from the telescope that was considerably smaller than the sky emission but nonetheless present. The additional telescopic background mentioned could be removed by moving the entire telescope to a new position and then chopping the secondary again between two positions. Subtracting the two chop images at this new telescope position would remove the sky emission but leave the additional telescopic background due to the misalignment; subtracting the result from the chop‐subtracted image at the first telescope position would then remove the background.

Since the process of moving to a new position was needed to remove the additional background from the telescope, not the sky, it could be done on a much longer timescale. (The variation in the telescopic backgrounds occurred on timescales on the order of tens of seconds to minutes, much slower than that of the variation in the sky emission.) This movement of the entire telescope, on a much longer timescale than chopping, was known as “**nodding”**. The two nod positions were usually referred to as nod A and nod B. The distance between the two nod positions was known as the **nod throw**.

The chop-subtracted images at nod Position B were then subtracted from the chop-subtracted images at nod position A. The result would be an image of the region, without the sky background emission or the additional emission resulting from tilting the secondary during the chopping process. The sequence of chopping in one telescope position, nodding, and chopping again in a second position was known as a **chop-nod cycle**.

Again, because the Infrared (IR) sky is so bright, deep images of a region could not be obtained (as they are in the optical) by simply observing the region for a long time with the detector collecting photons (integrating) continuously. As stated above, the observations required chopping and nodding at frequent intervals. Hence deep observations were made by effectively stacking a series of chop/nod images. Furthermore, IR detectors are not perfect, and often have bad pixels or flaws. To avoid these defects on the arrays, and prevent them from marring the final images, observers employed a technique known as dithering. **Dithering** entailed moving the position of the telescope slightly with respect to the center of the region observed each time a new chop/nod cycle was begun, or after several chop/nod cycles. When the images were processed, the observed region would appear in a slightly different place on the detector. This means that the bad pixels did not appear in the same place relative to the observed region. The individual images could then be registered and averaged or median-ed, a process that would eliminate (in theory) the bad pixels from the final image.

## On-The-Fly Mapping (OTFMAP)

In OTFMAP mode, the secondary mirror remained stationary on the optical axis of the telescope while the telescope assembly itself slowly moved with respect to the sky. This scan motion modulated the celestial source with respect to the atmosphere in a manner similar to chopping the secondary mirror. Scan rates had to reach (~2 Hz) x (HAWC+ beam width) to remove the source from the atmospheric background. This implies rates ~10–80 arcseconds per second depending on the bandpass.

To ensure absolute flux calibration in this mode, observations had to be carefully planned so that the mapped region contained no extended emission from the science target. Otherwise, only a differential flux with respect to the lowest measured intensity level could be measured. Further removal of residual atmospheric signal was performed by removing common-mode noise observed in all HAWC+ detectors. This averaging amounted to a spatial filter with size equal to the HAWC+ FOV. Therefore, while large maps might be necessary to reach a true zero intensity level, not all spatial scales in a given region could be recovered. The OTFMAP in total intensity or polarimetry could be used to map large areas with a potential loss of extended structures larger than 1-2 FOV at a given band.

HAWC+ offered two scan types for OTFMAP scan patterns: Lissajous and Box. Lissajous scans were recommended for sources smaller than the HAWC+ FOV at a given bandpass, while Box scans (analogous to traditional raster scanning methods) were more efficient at mapping large areas several times the FOV. The majority of the HAWC+ OTFMAP data in archive are done using the Lissajous scan pattern, while there are a handful of observations that used Box scans.

### History of OTFMAP Polarimetry

As described in [Kovács (2006)](https://thesis.library.caltech.edu/2400/1/thesis.pdf) during this mode, the telescope on its optical axis scanned the intended region by moving on a non-repeating parametric curve at a defined mapping speed. The mapping speed was chosen such that the beam size (FWHM) of the instrument was oversampled at the sampling rate of the detector readout. As in spatial and temporal Nyquist sampling, the sampling rate had to be at least twice the maximum frequency of the astrophysical and/or sky signal and the system noise in this mode had to be kept comparable to or lower than the power spectrum of the sky at the desired spatial frequency to be recovered ([Lopez-Rodriguez et al. 2022](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac83ac)) and references therein). Since at any given time, the scan was integrating over a deeper image, this mapping mode reduced the observing overheads as the telescope could be moved to farther adjacent sky regions to reach “true” zero level background emission. For bolometers, the signal was affected by both correlated and uncorrelated 1/f-type noise, from the atmosphere, detectors, and readout electronics, which was characterized by having higher amplitudes at longer timescales. This behavior resulted in signal drifts that could affect all spatial structures in the final image ([Lopez-Rodriguez et al. 2022](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac83ac)). Most of the efforts of the OTFMAP strategy focused on the mapmaking algorithms and signal filtering to recover diffuse and extended emission from the astrophysical object. A mapmaking algorithm was required to reconstruct the sky image while subtracting the sky and detector variation responses [Kovács (2006)](https://thesis.library.caltech.edu/2400/1/thesis.pdf). Note that the OTFMAP may also have structures in the background emission that increase with the structure size of the astrophysical object (when the size is larger than the FOV of the array) and inversely with scanning speed.

The OTFMAP observing mode had previously only been used for total intensity observations ([H18)](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081). Later observations of galaxies Centaurus A ([Lopez-Rodriguez 2021](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-021-01329-9)) and NGC 1097 ([Lopez-Rodriguez et al. 2021](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac2e01)) and the molecular clouds Taurus/L1495 ([Li et al. 2022](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab3448)a) and OMC-3/4 ([Li et al. 2022](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1527)b) reported successfully using the OTFMAP mode with HAWC+ for polarimetric observations. A complete characterization of this new observing mode for HAWC+ is reported in ([Lopez-Rodriguez et al. 2022](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac83ac)).

### HAWC+ Band B Warning

Historically, Band B has suffered an oversaturation effect that render it unusable, but a cold aperture was installed to minimize the saturation effects. Band B (63 μm) was under commissioning during Cycle 7 but not all the required data could be collected due to flight planning constraint. This band was offered as shared-risk observations during Cycle 8 and 9 and include some data that can be used for characterizing this band. Currently, all the data required for the band characterization can be found in the [IRSA’s SOFIA archive](https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/sofia/?__action=layout.showDropDown&).

The data provided in Table 1 for Band B is theoretical and the users should use the existing data from the archive to derive band characteristics before using any of the data for science. There are some science observations for a short list of targets on IRSA, but those observations were coordinated efforts between the instrument team and the investigators of those projects with the objective of further testing and benchmarking the filter characteristics.

# INSTRUMENT pERFORMANCE

## Overview

The absorbing coatings on the HAWC+ detector arrays were optimized to produce about 50% efficiency across the wide (50–240 μm) range of bandpasses. The TESs were designed to optimize the sensor time constants and background power at which they saturate, with the goal being operation at both laboratory and stratospheric background levels. The final design included a superconducting transition temperature of ~0.3 K and a detector yield of > 50%. Measurements of detector noise showed that their contribution to total measurement uncertainties was negligible such that noise levels were dominated by background photons from the atmosphere.

Measurements of the HAWC+ optical system in the laboratory were consistent with optical models, and flight data have confirmed that the observations were diffraction limited at all wavelengths.

For polarimetry observations, the configuration of HAWC+ lacks a second T polarization state array (as shown in Figure 3); as such, the field of view is reduced to approximately half in the largest side of the array, providing a 32×40 pixel size rather than 64×40 pixels (the first element of the field of view in Table 1). Total intensity observations are unaffected and can use the whole field of view via the R polarization state.

Finally, the filter transmission curves (text tables) are available as a zip file the [IRSA SOFIA HAWC+ page](https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SOFIA/docs/instruments/hawc/index.html) and images are shown in the Appendix (Figure 28) and values related to bandwidth are given in Table 1.

## Sensitivity

The sensitivities in Table 1 account for overheads, including nod slew times, bracketing of internal calibrators, and gyro drift checks. On the other hand, the sensitivities provided by the SOFIA Instrument Time Estimator (SITE) only account for on-source time (i.e., integrating time on the source in the FOV of HAWC+); the total overheads of the observations were provided through the Unified SOFIA Proposal and Observation Tool (USPOT) after entering the sensitivity values produced by SITE. Users are requested to use the EXPTIME the fits header keywords, which is the total on-source exposure time, in their data analysis.

HAWC+ point source sensitivities were updated on June 2, 2017, and the values given in Table 1 are based on the in-flight performance of the instrument. Note that values used in previous SOFIA observing cycles (Cycles 4 & 5) were estimates that contained an overestimated point source sensitivity by approximately a factor of two.

Among the designated band passes for HAWC+, band E had the lowest background photon noise (~2×10-16 W Hz-½) which was therefore used as a constraint for the range of desired sensitivity of HAWC+ bolometers. Another sensitivity threshold was determined as the sensitivity that allowed operation without saturation at bands C and D that harbored highest background power per bolometer (~ 60pW) among the filters (see, e.g., [H18](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081) and references therein). The detector arrays should also be reasonably operable without saturation at room temperatures for lab tests. Note that “saturation” power in TES bolometers refers to the maximum deposited energy in the linear regime which is proportional to heat capacity (not to be confused with saturation concept for CCD pixels). Detector saturation varied between bolometers and across each of the detector subarrays R0, T0, and R1. The design and manufacturing tests concluded that detector yield during nominal operation was dependent on the band pass and the observing condition. [H18](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081) reports 72% yield for bands C and D and 74% for band A for bolometers in R0 and T0 subarrays and 50% for bolometers in R1 subarray. The pattern of non-functional detectors due to detector’s electrical problems or multiplexer wiring in each subarray could be seen in the obtained internal calibration (INTCAL) for each filter (see bad pixel mask application in data reduction pipeline manual).

The terminology for quantifying the sensitivity of HAWC+ detectors in imaging and polarimetry modes are Minimum Detectable Continuum Flux (MDCF) and Minimum Detectable Polarized Flux (MDCPF) of a point source and Minimum Intensity for Polarimetry (MIfP) for extended source polarimetry. The reported [H18](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081) values of MDCF and MDCPF (see their Table 5) refer to minimum surface brightness for a 4-sigma detection of a point source in 900 seconds on-source integration in Lissajous and chop-nod imaging and polarimetry scan modes, respectively.

Note that [H18](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081) concedes that their reported values table is derived from limited observation of the dependence of sensitivity of HAWC+ detectors on line-of-sight (LOS) water vapor and aircraft altitude is not studied. However, ([Lopez-Rodriguez et al, 2022](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac83ac)) estimate that the OTFMAP polarimetric mode offered a reduction of observing overheads by a factor of 2.34 and an improvement in sensitivity by a factor of 1.80 when compared to the same on-source time polarimetric observations using the chopping and nodding mode. Based on the polarimetric observations in Survey of Extragalactic Magnetism with SOFIA (SALSA), they conclude that OTFMAP was a significant optimization of the polarimetric mode of HAWC+ and was quite cost effective as it increased the science collected per hour of observation up to an overall factor of 2.49.

## Data Quality Characteristics over Time

### Full Width Half Maximum

Table 1 shows the Full Width Half Maximum (FWHM) of each bandpass as measured using Gaussian profiles, the finite size of the HAWC+ detectors, and a convolution across the measured filter bandpasses. As a way of monitoring the performance of the instrument the FWHM of calibrators (planets and asteroids) was measured multiple times in each band during all SOFIA/HAWC+ observing series. Figure 5 shows the average measured FWHM for each band versus the SOFIA/HAWC+ observing series where the average is taken over multiple observations in the series and is a geometric mean of FWHM in X and FWHM in Y. As seen in the Figure 5 the FWHM in each band was consistent and did not change much over the lifetime of HAWC+.

A picture containing table


Description automatically generated

Figure *Measured beam full width half maximum (FWHM) by series. HAWC+ Band B data has been scaled by 1.3 in the figure for display purpose.*

### Flux Calibration Variability

The HAWC+ calibration procedure involved measuring fluxes of multiple calibrators (see Section 4.3 for details) in as many as possible combination of filters, observing mode (chop-nod and scanning), and observing configuration (imaging/total intensity and polarimetry) in each series. These fluxes were then used to derive the reference calibration factors as described in Section 4.3. To assess the variability of flux calibration over time the reference calibration factors for each source, HAWC+ filter, mode and configuration combination per observing series was averaged and compared to the average of the reference calibration factor (master calibration factor) for the entire lifetime of SOFIA.

The plots below show the percent difference between the reference calibration values (symbols) and the master calibration factors for each series and for each filter (colors) for both the polarimetry (Figure 6) and total intensity (imaging) modes (Figure 7). As seen in these plots, most of the series showed variations in reference calibration factors within 20% of the master calibration factor, a nominal requirement for the performance of the instrument. Observing series OC8K and OC9E showed reference calibration factors for a few long wavelength filters that were outside the nominal values. Due to the flight planning constrains the calibration observations for these two series were performed at a lower altitude (< 39,000 ft), resulting in higher water vapor overburden, which resulted in an off-nominal values of reference calibration factors in the longer wavelength band. Therefore, for these two series the master calibration factors were used to calibrate all science data. These reference calibration values are included in the SOFIA HAWC+ data reduction pipeline and is listed in Appendix B.



Application

Description automatically generated with medium confidence

Figure  *Trends in percent difference in the reference calibration factors for polarimetry data in the C2N and OTFMAP modes between each flight series and the average for the entire HAWC+ lifetime. The colored shaded region shows the nominal 20% calibration requirement.*

Graphical user interface, application

Description automatically generated with medium confidence

Graphical user interface, application, Teams

Description automatically generated

Figure *Trends in percent difference in the reference calibration factors for total intensity (imaging) data in the C2N and OTFMAP modes between each flight series and the average for the entire HAWC+ lifetime. The colored shaded region shows the nominal 20% calibration requirement.*

# DATA

## Data Product & Format

### File Naming Conventions

Output files from the HAWC pipeline are named according to the convention:

FILENAME = F[flight]\_HA\_[mode]\_[aorid]\_[spectel]\_[type]\_[fn1[-fn2]].fits

where flight is the SOFIA flight number, HA indicates the instrument (HAWC+), and mode is either IMA for imaging observations, POL for polarization observations, or CAL for diagnostic data. The aorid indicates the SOFIA program and observation number; spectel indicates the filter/band and the HWP setting. The type is a three-letter identifier for the pipeline product type, and fn1 and fn2 are the first and last raw file numbers that were combined to produce the output product. For example, a polarization map data product with AOR-ID 81\_0131\_04, derived from files 5 to 6 of flight 295, taken in band A with HWP in the A position would have the filename *F0295\_HA\_POL\_81013104\_HAWAHWPA\_PMP\_005-006.fits*. See the tables below for a list of all possible values for the three-letter product type.

### Data Format

Most HAWC data is stored in FITS files, conforming to the FITS standard ([Pence, et. al., 2010](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015362)) Each FITS file contains a primary Header Data Unit (HDU) which may contain the most appropriate image data for that particular data reduction level. Most files have additional data stored in HDU image or table extensions. All keywords describing the file are in the header of the primary HDU (see Appendix D and [HAWC+ DRP User Manual](https://sofia-usra.github.io/sofia_redux/manuals/hawc/users/users.html)). Each HDU also has a minimal header and is identified by the EXTNAME header keyword. The algorithm descriptions in the user's manual give more information about the content of each extension.

### Pipeline Products

The following tables list all intermediate and final products that may be generated by the HAWC pipeline, in the order in which they are produced for each mode. The product type is stored in the primary header, under the keyword PRODTYPE. By default, for Nod-Pol mode, the demodulate, opacity, calibrate, merge, and polmap products are saved and archived. For Chop-Nod mode, the demodulate, opacity, merge, and calibrate products are saved and archived. For Scan mode, the scanmap and calibrate products are saved and archived. For Scan-Pol mode, the scanmappol, calibrate, merge, and polmap products are saved and archived.

For polarization data, the pipeline also generates two auxiliary products: a polarization map image in PNG format, with polarization vectors plotted over the Stokes I image, and a polarization vector file in DS9 region format, for displaying with FITS images. These products are alternate representations of the data in the FINAL POL DATA table in the polarization map (PMP) FITS file. Similarly, for imaging data, a PNG quick-look preview image is generated as a final step in the pipeline. These auxiliary products may be distributed to observers separately from the FITS file products.

Data products that contain multiple AORs or that contain observations from multiple flights are referred to as multi-mission products. When multi-mission data are processed and stored in the database, they replace the corresponding single-mission/single-AOR data files. This process usually results in fewer data files for a project. For HAWC+, the following data products can be multi-mission:

* imaging: calibrate (CAL)
* polarimetry: merge (MRG), polmap (PMP).

Table 3: Nod-Pol Mode Intermediate and Final Pipeline Data Products

| Step | Description | PRODTYPE | PROCSTAT | Identifier | Saved\* |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Make Flat | Flat generated from Int. Cal file | obsflat | LEVEL\_2 | OFT | Y |
| Demodulate | Chops subtracted | demodulate | LEVEL\_1 | DMD | Y |
| Flat Correct | Flat field correction applied | flat | LEVEL\_2 | FLA | N |
| Align Arrays | R array shifted to T array | shift | LEVEL\_2 | SFT | N |
| Split Images | Data split by nod, HWP | split | LEVEL\_2 | SPL | N |
| Combine Images | Chop cycles combined | combine | LEVEL\_2 | CMB | N |
| Subtract Beams | Nod beams subtracted | nodpolsub | LEVEL\_2 | NPS | N |
| Compute Stokes | Stokes parameters calculated | stokes | LEVEL\_2 | STK | N |
| Update WCS | WCS added to header | wcs | LEVEL\_2 | WCS | N |
| Subtract IP | Instrumental polarization removed | ip | LEVEL\_2 | IPS | N |
| Rotate Coordinates | Polarization angle corrected to sky | rotate | LEVEL\_2 | ROT | N |
| Correct Opacity | Corrected for atmospheric opacity | opacity | LEVEL\_2 | OPC | Y |
| Calibrate Flux | Flux calibrated to physical units | calibrate | LEVEL\_3 | CAL | Y |
| Subtract Background | Residual background removed | bgsubtract | LEVEL\_3 | BGS | N |
| Bin Pixels | Pixels re-binned to increase S/N | binpixels | LEVEL\_3 | BIN | N |
| Merge Images | Dithers merged to a single map | merge | LEVEL\_3 | MRG | Y |
| Compute Vectors | Polarization vectors calculated | polmap | LEVEL\_4 | PMP | Y |

Table 4: Chop-Nod Mode Intermediate and Final Pipeline Data Products

| Step | Description | PRODTYPE | PROCSTAT | Identifier | Saved\* |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Make Flat | Flat generated from Int.Cal file | obsflat | LEVEL\_2 | OFT | Y |
| Demodulate | Chops subtracted | demodulate | LEVEL\_1 | DMD | Y |
| Flat Correct | Flat field correction applied | flat | LEVEL\_2 | FLA | N |
| Align Arrays | R array shifted to T array | shift | LEVEL\_2 | SFT | N |
| Split Images | Data split by nod, HWP | split | LEVEL\_2 | SPL | N |
| Combine Images | Chop cycles combined | combine | LEVEL\_2 | CMB | N |
| Subtract Beams | Nod beams subtracted | nodpolsub | LEVEL\_2 | NPS | N |
| Compute Stokes | Stokes parameters calculated | stokes | LEVEL\_2 | STK | N |
| Update WCS | WCS added to header | wcs | LEVEL\_2 | WCS | N |
| Correct Opacity | Corrected for atmospheric opacity | opacity | LEVEL\_2 | OPC | Y |
| Subtract Background | Residual background removed | bgsubtract | LEVEL\_2 | BGS | N |
| Bin Pixels | Pixels rebinned to increase S/N | binpixels | LEVEL\_2 | BIN | N |
| Merge Images | Dithers merged to single map | merge | LEVEL\_2 | MRG | Y |
| Calibrate Flux | Flux calibrated to physical units | calibrate | LEVEL\_3 | CAL | Y |

Table 5: Scan Mode Intermediate and Final Pipeline Data Products

| Step | Description | PRODTYPE | PROCSTAT | Identifier | Saved |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Construct Scan Map | Source model iteratively derived | scanmap | LEVEL\_2 | SMP | Y |
| Calibrate Flux | Flux calibrated to physical units | calibrate | LEVEL\_3 | CAL | Y |

Table 6:Scan-Pol Mode Intermediate and Final Pipeline Data Products

| Step | Description | PRODTYPE | PROCSTAT | Identifier | Saved\* |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Construct Scan Map | Source model iteratively derived | scanmappol | LEVEL\_2 | SMP | Y |
| Compute Stokes | Stokes parameters calculated | stokes | LEVEL\_2 | STK | N |
| Subtract IP | Instrumental polarization removed | ip | LEVEL\_2 | IPS | N |
| Rotate Coordinates | Polarization angle corrected to sky | rotate | LEVEL\_2 | ROT | N |
| Calibrate Flux | Flux calibrated to physical units | calibrate | LEVEL\_3 | CAL | Y |
| Merge Images | HWP sets merged to single map | merge | LEVEL\_3 | MRG | Y |
| Compute Vectors | Polarization vectors calculated | polmap | LEVEL\_4 | PMP | Y |

\* *The "saved" status denotes the default configuration in the pipeline for saving the intermediate data structures as it progresses from RAW to the final map. If the pipeline is run on RAW files, the 'Y' status products will be saved in their output directory.*

## Correction for Atmospheric Opacity

To combine images taken under differing atmospheric conditions, the pipeline corrects the flux in each individual file for the estimated atmospheric transmission during the observation, based on the altitude and zenith angle at the time when the observation was obtained. Atmospheric transmission values in each HAWC+ filter have been computed for a range of telescope elevations and observatory altitudes (corresponding to a range of overhead precipitable water vapor values) using the ATRAN atmospheric modeling code, provided to the SOFIA program by Steve Lord. The ratio of the transmission at each altitude and zenith angle, relative to that at the reference altitude (41,000 feet) and reference zenith angle (45 degrees), has been calculated for each filter and fits with a low-order polynomial. The ratio appropriate for the altitude and zenith angle of each observation is calculated from the fit coefficients. The appropriate atmospheric models and the fit coefficient are included in the pipeline repository and the pipeline automatically applies this relative opacity correction factor directly to the flux in the Stokes I, Q, and U images, and propagates it into the corresponding error and covariance images.

## FLUX Calibration

The calibration factors are computed in a manner similar to that of another SOFIA instrument (FORCAST), taking into account that HAWC+ was a bolometer, not a photon-counting device. Measured photometry is compared to the theoretical fluxes of standards whose spectra are corrected as described in Section 4.2 above. The predicted fluxes in each HAWC+ passband are computed by multiplying the model spectrum by the overall response curve of the telescope and instrument system and integrating over the filter passband. For HAWC+, the standards used to date include Uranus, Neptune, Callisto, Ganymede, Ceres, Pallas, and Vesta. The models for Uranus and Neptune were obtained from the Herschel project ([Müller et al. 2016](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527371)) and the standard thermal models were used for the asteroids. All models were scaled to match the distances of the objects at the time of the observations. Calibration factors computed from these standards were then corrected by a color correction factor based on the mean and pivot wavelengths of each passband, such that the output flux in the calibrated data product was that of a nominal, flat spectrum source at the mean wavelength for the filter. Since the color correction as described above is tied to the reference altitude (41,000 feet) and reference zenith angle (45 degrees) these calibration factors are referred to as the reference calibration factor. See Appendix B for a table of those values and Appendix B of the FORCAST Archive User’s Manual for more details on the process.

Raw calibration factors are computed as above by the pipeline, for any observation marked as a flux standard (OBSTYPE=STANDARD\_FLUX) and are stored in the FITS headers of the output data product. The instrument team generally combined these factors across a flight series, to determine a robust average value for each instrument configuration and mode. The overall calibration thus determined is expected to be good to within about 10%.

For science observations, the series-average calibration factor was directly applied to the flux in each of the Stokes *I*, *Q*, and *U* images, and to their associated error and covariance images:

where f is the reference calibration factor corrected to the observed altitude and zenith angle. The systematic error on f is not propagated into the error planes, but it is stored in the ERRCALF FITS header keyword. The calibration factor applied is stored in the CALFCTR keyword. Note that for Chop-Nod imaging data, this factor is applied after the merge step.

### Instrumental Polarization

As with any polarization observations it is crucial to distinguish between the polarization caused by the telescope and instrument (instrumental polarization) versus that the intrinsic source polarization. HAWC+ employed three methods to determine the instrumental polarization (IP): i) observations of nominally unpolarized sources like planets and asteroids, ii) measurement of sources whose extended emission covers the full detector array covering large range of parallactic angles and iii) measurements taken during skydip, which are observation taken continually while scanning the telescope over a large range of zenith angles. Each of these methods have advantages and limitation. Using planets and asteroids to determine instrumental polarization measures only a small, central subset of pixels in the array, while using extended emission are very time consuming and do not uniformly sample all pixels. Even though skydip observation in not based on an astrophysical source, it measures all the pixel with high signal to noise and can be done in a reasonable amount of time. The specifics of each of these methods are already described in detail in [H18](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081) and users are referred to that document. While observing standard source for flux calibration provided the instrumental polarization for free, the HAWC+ observing team performed multiple skydip observations during each flight and thus were able to derive instrumental polarization for the entire array for each flight/series. As with the flux calibration factors these instrumental polarization values are included in the pipeline and is automatically applied to all the polarization data.

### Internal Calibrator:

HAWC+ controlled variations in detector responsivity by making measurements of its internal calibration source, which was a Boston Electronics IR-50 thin film thermal source. The source was square wave modulated at 3 Hz to provide a stable and repeatable infrared reference signal. Its purpose was twofold. The first was to correct for detector gain variations caused by changes in bias or background loading. The second was to determine the relative time constants of the detector pixels. These internal calibrator measurements, or INTCAL files, were typically 10–20 seconds in length, and the source was driven at a frequency of 3 Hz. INTCAL files were acquired before and after sets of four dithered polarimetry files and at other times as needed to calibrate scan data. See [H18](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081) and [HAWC+ DRP User Manual](https://sofia-usra.github.io/sofia_redux/manuals/hawc/users/users.html) for further detail.

## Data Artifact

Details can be found by clicking on SERIES or ISSUES to jump to specific sections within the following tables.

Table 7: List of Data Issues by HAWC+ Flight Series and Mission IDs

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| HAWC Series | | |
| Series | Mission ID | Issues |
| OC4L | 2016-12-01 HA F353 2016-12-03 HA F354 2016-12-06 HA F355 2016-12-08 HA F356 2016-12-09 HA F357 2016-12-14 HA F358 2016-12-15 HA F359  2016-12-16\_HAF360 | [HAIMG\_01](#HAIMG01), [HALISS\_01a](#HALISS01a), [HALISS\_01b](#HALISS01b), [HAPOL\_01](#HAPOL01), [HAPOL\_03](#HAPOL03) [FT355 Only] |
| OC5E | 2017-05-11 HA F393 2017-05-12 HA F394 2017-05-13 HA F395 2017-05-17 HA F396 2017-05-18 HA F397 |
| OC5N | 2017-10-17 HA F440 2017-10-18 HA F441 2017-10-19 HA F442 2017-10-20 HA F443 2017-10-24 HA F444 2017-10-25 HA F445 2017-10-26 HA F446 2017-10-27 HA F447 2017-10-31 HA F448 2017-11-07 HA F449 2017-11-09 HA F450 2017-11-14 HA F451 2017-11-15 HA F452 2017-11-16 HA F453 2017-11-17 HA F454 | [HAIMG\_01](#HAIMG01), [HALISS\_01a](#HALISS01a), [HALISS\_01b](#HALISS01b), [HAPOL\_01](#HAPOL01), [HAPOL\_02](#HAPOL02) |
| OC9E | 2021-11-03 HA F886 2021-11-04 HA F887 2021-11-05 HA F888 | [HALISS\_01a](#HALISS01a), [HALISS\_01b](#HALISS01b), [HAPOL\_04](#HAPOL04) |
| OC9Q | 2022-06-01\_HA\_F879  2022-06-02\_HA\_F880  2022-06-03\_HA\_F881  2022-06-04\_HA\_F882  2022-06-07\_HA\_F883  2022-06-09\_HA\_F884  2022-06-10\_HA\_F885  2022-06-11\_HA\_F886 | [HAPOL\_05](#HAPOL05) |
| OC9R | 2022-06-21\_HA\_F889  2022-06-23\_HA\_F890  2022-06-27\_HA\_F891  2022-06-30\_HA\_F892  2022-07-03\_HA\_F893  2022-07-05\_HA\_F894  2022-07-06\_HA\_F895 | [HAPOL\_05](#HAPOL05) |
| OC9W | 2022-09-21\_HA\_F916  2022-09-22\_HA\_F917  2022-09-23\_HA\_F918  2022-09-27\_HA\_F919  2022-09-28\_HA\_F920  2022-09-29\_HA\_F921 | [HAPOL\_05](#HAPOL05) |

Table 8: Details of the Known Data Issues for HAWC+

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mode | Issues | Series |
| Lissajous  Polarimetry | **[HALISS\_01a] Dark Outlines:** When observing very bright extended sources with little sky background, dark areas appear to outline the bright emission. | All |
| **[HALISS\_01b] Low Scan Velocity Bleed Through:** Occasionally artifacts seen as dark regions off-source or dark spots appear on the array due to insufficient mapping coverage. | All |
| Imaging | **[HAIMG\_01] Ghosting:** Streaks and false sources in data due to very bright targets, can resemble chop smear. | [OC4L](#OC4L),  [OC5E](#OC5E),  [OC5N](#OC5N) |
| Polarimetry | **[HAPOL\_01] Chop Smear:** Smearing due to observations being taken while chopping secondary still moving, causing streaking and false sources above and below bright objects. | [OC5E](#OC5E),  [OC5N](#OC5N) |
| **[HAPOL\_02] Nod Misalignment:** A mechanical issue associated with the telescope has resulted in misalignment or imperfect matching of the Nods in HAWC Chop-Nod mode (C2N(NMC)) mode with large Nod Throws≥120 arcsec). This has resulted in double sources with misalignments on scales greater than 3 arcsec (more at larger Nod Throws). This primarily affects polarimetry mode where Chopping and Nodding are used rather than a Lissajous pattern. | [OC4L](#OC4L),  [OC5E](#OC5E),  [OC5N](#OC5N) |
| **[HAPOL\_03] Helium Contamination:** Helium contamination on the detector caused time variable phase shifts of ∼ 30 degrees at 10 Hz. This only occurred on 2016-12-06 HA F355. | [OC4L](#OC4L)  [FT355 only] |
| **[HAPOL\_04] Flux Calibration:** This series had a few cancelled and postponed flights resulting in low numbers of standard star observations and all observations below altitude of 39K. This resulted in reference flux calibration values that were considerably off compared to the historic data. Therefore, this series has been calibrated with an average value derived from historic data. | [OC9E](#OC9E) |
| **[HAPOL\_05] High Temperature:** HAWC+ cooling current consumption rate had increased dramatically after the repair of the instrument which resulted in shortening the flight duration and still losing parts or all of the last legs of some flights. To elongate the hold time, parts or all of polarimetric observation for a number of targets were acquired at higher temperature than the nominal 0.17K. The planned calibration at higher temperature was not possible before the end of the program and as a result, the polarization observations at 0.225K are deemed unreliable. | [OC9Q](#OC9Q) [F883 only],  [OC9R](#OC9R) [F892 only],  [OC9W](#OC9W) [F919, F921 only] |

## KNOWN ARTIFACTS & Mitigation

### Dark outline around an extended emission

*Significance:*The first artifact described here (HALISS\_01a) is from Table 8. Figure 8 shows an example observation of a bright extended emission that has a lot of negative flux values around the extended emission that appear dark in the displayed image. This is the result of the OTFMAP that is small compared to the source that is being observed and thus has no “true” background emission-free region in the FOV. Such cases result in improper estimation of the background emission and an overcorrection of backgrounds in the entire frame.




Figure *Observation of a bright extended source showing dark (negative flux values) outline around the extended emission.*

*Fix:* The DPS team investigated this issue and implemented a solution for data acquired after Cycle 7, where the lowest negative pixels in each of the R and T array are added to the entire data and the nominal pipeline reduction is performed. If this artifact is found in data that was acquired before Cycle 7 and not re-processed, then qubgsubtract needs to be set to true in the background subtraction module to implement this solution for the data. Note that this solution does not work in every case and data should be checked carefully to ensure there are no anomalous polarization values in the maps.

### Low Scan Velocity Bleed Through

*Significance:* This artifact is usually seen in a set of scans, but it does not appear in each of the individual scans. This is probably because of the variations in the background emission which changes the overall levels of the flux seen by the bolometers. This artifact looks like a series of bad pixels along the scan direction, as shown below in Figure 9.




Figure *(Top Left) Example of a low scan velocity bleed through. (Top Right) Example of a data product using faint flag that results in mitigation of low scan velocity bleed through. (Bottom Left) Example of a data product using fixjumps flag that results in mitigation of low scan velocity bleed through. (Bottom Right) Example of a data product using sigmaclip flag that results in mitigation of low scan velocity bleed through.*

Chart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generated

Figure  *Histogram of the pixel values in a 50-pixel radius around the boresight in Figure 9.*

*Fix:* There are several ways this artifact can be mitigated, and the method used may depend on the source and the background emission. If the source is faint, then the faint flag in the pipeline can be used, which will aggressively filter the background to enhance the source emission (see Figure 9 and Figure 10). This flag should be used with caution since its use will produce large noise in the background. This flag may work with point sources but not extended emission.

The other flag used to mitigate this artifact is reducing the value of sigma clip, as shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10 used in the pipeline (default = 5) which removes the frames that are outside of the permissible scanning speed range by iteratively removing speeds that are n times the standard deviation away from the median speed value.

The last flag used to mitigate this artifact is fix jump, which attempts to ‘fix’ residual flux jumps that result from imprecise correction in the MCE. Long jumps are re-levelled, while shorter ones are flagged out to minimize impact on source structure, as shown in the examples in Figure 9 and Figure 10.

### Low CentroidExpMsec

*Significance:* All of the raw HAWC+ data is stored as timestream, and the pipeline constructs the different chops/nods by choosing the “good” data using various data cuts, one of which is to monitor the peak flux value of a bolometer in the HAWC+ array. After the demodulate step in the pipeline, a demodulate plot (DPL) is produced, which can be used to check the results of the demodulate step. In some cases where the load on the bolometer varies, as can be seen below in Figure 11, the peak flux value in the given bolometer can drop to very low values, resulting in this part of the data being excluded by the pipeline. In the Figure 11, green represents the data that is included in the map generation and white is excluded.

*Fix:* This issue can be fixed by changing the default value of tracking tolerance in the demodulate step of the pipeline. The track\_tol value in the demodulate step will use this number as the tracking tolerance in arcseconds. Samples with tracking deviation larger than this number will be rejected. If set to ‘beam’, the beam size for the filter band will be used. If set to ‘centroidexp’, the CentroidExpMsec data stream will be used to flag data, rather than the TrackErrAoi3/4 data stream. Setting it to -1 will turn off tracking rejection entirely. The default is centroidexp. RHS of Figure 11 shows the DPL plot where track\_tol has been used to ‘beam’ instead of the default ‘centroidexp’, resulting in inclusion of all the chop/nod data in the map generation.

Note that if this setting is used to reduced C2N data in polarimetry mode then each extension in the final data should be carefully checked to ensure that including all the timestream in the reduction does not create any artifacts in the polarization data, especially debias percent polarization, polarization angle, and flux as show in the examples below (Figure 12 to 15).

Graphical user interface

Description automatically generated with medium confidenceTable

Description automatically generated with low confidence

Figure *(Left) Example DPL plot showing the centroid value (third panel from the top) falling down to a very low number in the middle of a chop/nod cycle, which results in exclusion of the data in this part of the time stream. (Right) Example DPL plot showing the inclusion of the data in the time stream when track tolerance is set to beam instead of centroid.*

A screenshot of a computer

Description automatically generated with medium confidenceGraphical user interface, histogram

Description automatically generated

Figure  *(Left) Low centroid artifact Stokes I before and after setting track\_tol to beam. (Right)This histogram is the data from the green circle in the LHS panel, showing that the clipped median of the two histograms are well within the uncertainty in each case.*

Graphical user interface, application

Description automatically generatedGraphical user interface

Description automatically generated

Figure  *(Left) Low centroid artifact, Detbias % Pol before and after setting track\_tol to beam.*

Graphical user interface, application

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generated

Figure *(Left) Low centroid artifact, Rotated Pol angle before and after setting track\_tol to beam.*

Graphical user interface, application

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generated

Figure *Low centroid artifact, debias pol flux before and after setting track\_tol to beam.*

Chart, table

Description automatically generatedGraphical user interface, chart, table

Description automatically generated

Figure *Characteristics of Tracking Error I.*

### Tracking Error I

*Significance:* Telescope tracking in the C2N mode can be diagnosed using Figure 16, left hand side, second panel from the top, which shows the AOIs that were active during different chop/nod cycles. As seen in Figure 16, during data collection in Nod A, HWP 2, the AOI lost tracking and went into a high state. The track star was recovered soon after and the AOI was then in a nominal state. This resulted in the pipeline excluding the time series for Nod A, HAW 2. Examination of raw data shows that even though AOI 3 was in a high state for a brief period the fluxes in the bolometer did not vary by much. Note that the example shown in Figure 16 may also be related to the artifact described in Section 4.5.3.

*Fix:* The mitigation in this case is the same as the one in Section 4.5.3, i.e., by setting the track tolerance to beam the pipeline can be forced to include data in Nod A, HWP 2 and reduce the data with a full set of Chop/Nod and HWPs as shown in the RHS panel of Figure 16.

### Tracking Error II

*Significance:* Figure 17 shows various cases where tracking while collecting C2N data has failed. In the first case, top left hand side of Figure 17 the tracking fails while taking the data in HWP 3 and the AOI 3 goes in a high state. While the top right hand side and the bottom panel of Figure 17 show that the AOI 3 was in a nominal state during the entire C2N observations, AOI 4 was always in an off-nominal state.

*Fix:* Unfortunately, in this case there is no mitigation, and the pipeline excludes these files from processing. In such cases the intermediate files should be carefully checked to make sure that the pipeline has indeed excluded these files. Here it can be seen that there is a DMD file but no corresponding OPC and CAL files.

Diagram

Description automatically generatedA picture containing application

Description automatically generated

Table

Description automatically generated with low confidence

Figure *Characteristics of Tracking error II.*

### Incomplete HWP

*Significance:* Figure 18 shows a case where a C2N observation was done in only 2 HWP angles (see second last panel from the bottom in Figure 18) and not the whole set of 4 HWP angles. This could be due to the observations being aborted because of some observing issue or the control software hitting an error state.

*Fix:* These cases (data files) where there are no observations in a full set of HWP angles should be excluded from processing. As in the previous case, the intermediate files should be checked to ensure there are DMD files but no OPC and CAL files.

Graphical user interface, diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure  *Characteristics of incomplete HWP.*




Figure  *Characteristics of URD Vignetting.*

### URD Vignetting

*Significance:* Vignetting by the observatory upper rigid door (URD) causes large, occasional excursions in time series signals which, due to the serial approach to measuring polarization, end up corrupting the polarization measurements. The characteristics of vignetting can be seen in several ways where anomalous, correlated polarization vectors will be found in the output map. For infrequent vignetting, this can often be confirmed with outlier means and often gradients in the Stokes I image, and larger values in the uncertainty image. Anomalous values and uncertainties in one of the Stokes Q or U images may also be noticed. The pipeline QA diagnostic plots (DPL) calculate the Stokes I variance to flag candidate URD vignetting events, in particular, the ratio of “real” variance (in phase with chopper) to “imaginary” as listed on the top of the DPL plot in Figure 19. Values greater than 2 signify that there is probably a vignetting event during one or more of the chop/nod cycles.

*Fix:* Observations with known URD vignetting should be excluded from processing but individual OPC and CAL vignetted files should be checked and compared with non-vignetted data to see if the data is salvageable.

### Double Source

*Significance:* As can be seen from the screen shot below, the WCS registration of the standard observation, Neptune, has failed and two sources can be seen in the image. From the infiles.txt, it can be seen that there are only two input files, 073 and 087. Querying the JPL Horizons database, it can be confirmed that file 073 has the correct WCS and 087 has an offset.

Graphical user interface, application

Description automatically generated


Figure *Characteristics of double source.*

Graphical user interface, text, application, email

Description automatically generated

Run only two modules

Figure  *zero\_Pointing.cfg.*



Need the fullpath to zero\_pointing.cfg file

Figure  *man\_Reduc.cfg For Zero Pointing Part I.*

*Fix:* Since this observation is of point source, the pipeline can be used to fix the WCS issue. The pipeline will need to be run twice, once by setting the pointing offset to zero so that the pipeline can figure out what the offsets are and then running the pipeline with the offsets found in the previous step.

Configuration file for Zero Offset: The two configuration files, zero\_pointing.cfg (Figure 21) and man\_reduc.cfg (Figure 22), need to be generated, then run the pipeline.

Run the pipeline:

*% redux\_pipe -c man\_reduc.cfg -o pointing infiles.txt*

Look for the following output (Figure 23): Graphical user interface, text, application, email

Description automatically generated


Figure  *Output of Part I.*

Use the offset (dAZ, dEL) found in the log (see Figure 23) in a new pointing config file and run the pipeline.

Graphical user interface, text, application

Description automatically generated

Figure *pointing\_correction.cfg, Part II.*



Use the new pointing offset file

Figure  *man\_reduc.cfg for Part II.*

Run the pipeline (see Figure 25):

*% redux\_pipe -c man\_reduc.cfg -o pointing infiles.txt*

Graphical user interface, application

Description automatically generated

Figure *Before and after pointing correction.*

Finally, run the pipeline again to calibrate the SMP file generated above.

*% redux\_pipe -o pointing pointing/\*SMP\**

The product of this pipeline run, with before and after images, is shown in Figure 26.

### “Peanut” PSF

*Significance:* Some of this artifact is seen in the standard observation; the PSF looks like a peanut. This artifact was observed on flights between May and November of 2017 and was due to 0.7-degree rotational misalignment between chop and nod displacement.

A screenshot of a computer

Description automatically generated with medium confidence

Figure *Characteristics of peanut PSF.*

*Fix:* In the above example (Figure 27) there are two files, 080 and 081, where 080 had no misalignment and 081 has a chop nod misalignment. Since there is no mitigation for this issue, the bad file is excluded, and a note is made in the QA comments.

## An example of basic polarization data inspection and visualization

The notebook provides a walk-through of the steps of looking into the content and further calculation and visualization:

<https://github.com/SOFIAObservatory/Recipes/blob/master/HAWC_30Dor.ipynb>

## Observations at Higher Temperature

There is a relatively small subset of imaging and polarization observations for a few science targets on IRSA. The “High Temperature” refers to the set temperature for HAWC+ detectors (0.225K as opposed to the nominal 0.17K). Increase in the operational temperature for bolometers changes the detector gain and their bias. The degree of these changes is temperature dependent. The very idea of observation at higher temperature originated from the notion that the cooling current for the detectors could last longer if the bolometers were kept at higher temperature than 0.17K. Increasing the temperature up to >0.2K showed a significant effect in elongating the observation by preserving the cooling current (a.k.a, ADR current).

For these off-nominal data, all the tunned bias curves for detectors in each subarray had to be examined and a new flat frame (sky flat) and bad pixel mask had to be constructed from the data and the internal calibration data taken at the same temperature. The condition and characterization of this sky flat determined the possibility of appropriate treatment of the reduced data. The scheduled end of the SOFIA program in 2022 imposed harder constraint on the already tight schedule of HAWC+ observations over a much shorter time for completing the highest priority observations. This time limit prevented many planned calibration observations at higher temperatures, which in turn jeopardized the chance of proper reduction for high temperature data for some projects.

The reprocessing effort of Cycle 5 to Cycle 9 data may result in creating appropriate sky flats to be used for reducing these data. When downloading the data for these targets, ensure that the portion of data from these listed flights is treated separately from the data taken at nominal temperature.

Table 9: Data Taken with Higher Detector Temperature

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| F883 | NGC4631 NGC2276 | D/D D/Open | NGC2276 [Fadda et al target] has nominal temp observations at both requested bands at nominal temp |
| F892 | BYF77  Fil8 | D/D E/E | BYF77 observations included 7 pointings (09\_0048\_45,46,47,48, 49, 50, 51) all observed at high temp - intcal 073 is taken at high temp |
| F895 | BYF77 | D/D | All the pointings of BYF in this flight was also observed at high temp |
| F916 | IRAS 00450+7401 | A/Open |  |
| F919 | Orion bar | A/A | Orion bar has observation at nominal temp in F686, F919 |
| F921 | Cep\_L1157 | E/E | There are nominal temp data for this target |

# Scientific Results

## Extended Source Polarimetry

HAWC+ polarimetry mode was used to create large continuous maps of extended sources such as intergalactic filaments, giant molecular clouds, and the galactic plane, as part of various legacy programs. In a few projects such as scanning the galactic plane, to cover those vast areas with optimum number of overlapping mosaics, the scanning characteristics such as speed and amplitude were pushed to the maximum feasible limit that ensured quality data. The increased amplitude was essential to include a reasonable off-source region in each mosaic and measure background radiation.

It should be noted that for these custom-designed large mosaic mappings, it was possible that the standard calibration approach would fail to characterize the total and polarized fluxes and their inferences on the magnetic field alignment and strength, and therefore a custom calibration process was deemed essential. As of completion of this document, the investigative science team has not reached that conclusion. Please refer to the associated publications of each data set for an accurate description of the calibration steps of large scans.

HAWC+ data from Cycle 5 to 9 have been reprocessed using the latest, more mature version of the pipeline, and several fixes such as correction for the extended emission are embedded into the code, which resulted in a number of historically known artifacts being mitigated (see details in Sections 4.4 and 4.5). However, there could be data sets, especially for extended sources where the reduced data passed basic qualification and were labeled as “NOMINAL”, that were still not used in the associated scientific publication for the project. Therefore, a careful comparison of the configuration parameters used by the legacy teams to the publicly available pipeline should be made if legacy data is to be used for further science.

## HAWC Legacy Surveys

The legacy programs for imaging and polarimetry with HAWC+ are listed below with a summary of their science objectives, project websites, and publications. With this provided information, the fully public data on IRSA can be accessed for other defined projects.

### FIELDMAPS: Filaments Extremely Long and Dark: A Magnetic Polarization Survey

* Principal Investigator: *Ian Stephens (Worcester State University)*
* Plan ID: 08\_0186
* FIELDMAPS Team Website: <https://fieldmaps.weebly.com/>

Publications:

* [The Magnetic Field in the Milky Way Filamentary Bone G47](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac4d8f).

Molecular gas in a galaxy generally follows the spiral arms. In the Milky Way, the densest of this molecular gas can form long, velocity-coherent filaments parallel and in close proximity to the Galactic plane. These dense filaments make up the 'skeleton' of molecular gas of the Milky Way — akin to the dark dust lanes seen in nearby spiral galaxies — and thus have been called 'bones.' For the early stages of star formation, these bones represent the largest star-forming structures in the Galaxy, and previous studies suggest that magnetic fields are critical to their formation. Our pilot survey of 2 bones shows that HAWC+ can detect polarization over large angular extents with modest integration time. To understand how gas collects in the magnetized spiral potential, we propose a legacy survey to probe the magnetic fields across the entire extent of 8 additional bones (for a total of 10). We will use these observations in combination with new magnetohydrodynamical simulations of galactic formation of bones to investigate (1) the role of magnetic fields in the formation of bones, (2) how the field varies between arm and inter-arm bones, and (3) whether fields bend into filaments to build gas flows to the largest gravitational potential well.

### SALSA: SOFIA Heralds a New Era of Measuring the Magnetic Fields of Galaxies

* Principal Investigator: *Enrique Lopez-Rodriguez (Stanford/KIPAC)*
* Plan ID: 08\_0012
* FIELDMAPS Team Website: <http://galmagfields.com/>

Publications:

* [SOFIA Far-infrared Imaging Polarimetry of M82 and NGC 253: Exploring the Supergalactic Wind](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaf8b9)
* [SOFIA/HAWC+ Traces the Magnetic Fields in NGC 1068](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5849)
* [The magnetic field across the molecular warped disk of Centaurus A](httos://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-021-01329-9)
* [The Strength and Structure of the Magnetic Field in the Galactic Outflow of Messier 82](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abf934)
* [Extragalactic Magnetism with SOFIA (Legacy Program). I. The Magnetic Field in the Multiphase Interstellar Medium of M51](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac16d7)
* [Extragalactic Magnetism with SOFIA (Legacy Program) - II: A Magnetically Driven Flow in the Starburst Ring of NGC 1097](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac2e01)
* [Extragalactic Magnetism with SOFIA (SALSA Legacy Program). III. First Data Release and On-the-fly Polarization Mapping Characterization](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac83ac)
* [Extragalactic Magnetism with SOFIA (SALSA Legacy Program). IV. Program Overview and First Results on the Polarization Fraction](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac7f9d)
* [Extragalactic Magnetism with SOFIA (SALSA Legacy Program): The Magnetic Fields in the Multiphase Interstellar Medium of the Antennae Galaxies](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acaaa2)

Our team has made important and unexpected discoveries about the role of the magnetic fields in nearby galaxies. We have found a) that galaxies typically host large-scale and coherent magnetic fields along the spiral arms, b) magnetic field strengths of ~uG with similar contributions from the random and ordered field components, and c) magnetic fields oriented along galactic outflows that are likely responsible for magnetizing the IGM. To date, these results have mostly emerged from single wavelength regimes: radio synchrotron polarization tracing the large-scale field structure in the ionized gas, and optical studies to investigate the effect of scattering and/or extinction by the ISM. These studies access the field on vastly different spatial scales and within different ISM phases. However, the effect of magnetic fields in dense regions of the ISM, outflows, and the ISM of merging galaxies are still poorly described. SOFIA/HAWC+ is key to provide a complete picture using far-infrared (FIR) polarimetric observations. This Joint Legacy Program aims to construct a comprehensive empirical picture of the magnetic field strength and structure in multiphase ISM of galaxies. Using HAWC+, we will conduct a FIR polarimetric survey covering the full disk of nearby galaxies.

Note: The SALSA legacy program is willing to share their custom-reduced data products with anyone interested in working with this dataset. Currently the legacy team is look for a place to host their custom-reduced data but there is a high probability that they will eventually reside at IRSA under enhanced data products. Please refer to the SALSA legacy [data product documentation](http://galmagfields.com/) for more into.

### A Two-Color Polarimetric Survey of the Galactic Center

* Principal Investigator: *David Chuss (Villanova University)*
* Plan ID: 09\_0054

Publications:

* [SOFIA/HAWC+ far infrared polarimetric large area CMZ exploration (fireplace) survey I: General results from the pilot program](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.01681)

We propose a Legacy program to utilize HAWC+ to map the central region of the Galaxy at both 214 µm and 89 µm. We will utilize the shared-risk scan mode polarimetry observing strategy. The 214 µm map is proposed as a test case because the total integration time is modest. Upon successful completion of the 214 maps, (and the approval of the Cycle 9 TAC), we will proceed with the 89 µm survey in the second year of the program. The resulting data products will yield a transformative data set for understanding the magnetic fields in both the cool dust ring in the central 200 pc and the warmer dust component and its relationship to the hot features of the Galactic center. Such a data set would elucidate the role of the magnetic field in Galactic center dynamics from the 200 pc scale down to scales below a parsec.

### Study of Interstellar Magnetic Polarization: A Legacy Investigation of Filaments (SIMPLIFI)

* Principal Investigator: *Thushara Pillai (Boston University)*
* Plan ID: 09\_0215

Our understanding of star formation has been revolutionized by the insight that star-forming cores and hubs are embedded in complex filamentary networks that penetrate molecular clouds. Filaments differ greatly in their mass-to-length ratio -- which indicates the likelihood of star-forming fragmentation -- and the levels of associated star formation. Still, the exact role of filaments in star formation remains unclear. In particular, magnetic fields might play an important role, as indicated by well-ordered polarized dust emission that imply strong magnetic fields. Here we propose SIMPLIFI, a SOFIA HAWC+ Legacy program that will carry out the first large-scale study of the magnetic polarization of filaments. The sample covers a wide range of mass-to-length ratios and star formation activities, in order to probe representative conditions for star formation. SIMPLIFI includes local filaments which are starless or form low-mass stars. SOFIA will resolve the column density and magnetic structure of filament ridges and cores much more clearly than previous work with Planck. SIMPLIFI also targets distant filaments that form clusters and massive stars, to reveal differences between regions of high-mass and low-mass star formation. Our project brings together an international team of observers, instrumentalists, and theorists to exploit these data to the fullest. This enables us to go beyond the standard Davis–Chandrasekhar–Fermi analysis, e.g. by using sophisticated flux-freezing models and complex numerical MHD simulations. A further unique feature of SIMPLIFI is our access to comprehensive imaging of gas density distributions and dynamics from dust and line observations. This enables SIMPLIFI to constrain the relative role of self-gravity, turbulence, and magnetic fields in star formation. SIMPLIFI will clarify the role of magnetic fields in star-forming filaments and will provide a framework to “simplify” the diversity of filament properties.

# References

* Kovács, A. (2006), Ph. D Thesis website: <https://thesis.library.caltech.edu/2400/1/thesis.pdf>
* Harper, D.A., et al. (2018), JAI, 7(4), doi: [10.1142/S2251171718400081](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2251171718400081)
* Li, P.S., et al. (2022a), MNRAS, 510, 6085-6109, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab3448](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab3448)
* Li, P.S., et al. (2022b), MNRAS, 514, 3024-3040, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac1527](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1527)
* Lopez-Rodriguez, E. (2021), Nature Ast., 5, 604-614, doi: [10.1038/s41550-021-01329-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-021-01329-9)
* Lopez-Rodriguez, E., et al. (2021), ApJ, 923, 15, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac2e01](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac2e01)
* Lopez-Rodriguez, E., et al. (2022), ApJ, 936, 24, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac83ac](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac83ac)
* Müller, T.G., et al. (2016), A&A, 588, 21, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527371](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527371)
* Pence, W.D., et. al. (2010), A&A, 524, 40, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201015362](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015362)

Appendix

1. Response/Transmission Curves

Chart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generated

Figure *Transmission Curves for HAWC+ Bands.*

1. Historical FWHM and Calibration Factors

Table 10: Measured Beam FWHM by Series (in arcsec)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Flight Series | Band A | Band B | Band C | Band D | Band E |
| OC4L | 5.60 |  | 7.56 | 13.97 | 18.71 |
| OC5E | 5.21 |  |  |  | 20.14 |
| OC5N | 5.06 |  | 7.62 | 13.73 | 17.68 |
| OC6I | 5.74 |  | 8.25 | 14.53 | 19.35 |
| OC6K | 5.36 |  | 8.11 | 14.06 | 18.91 |
| OC6T | 5.35 |  | 8.10 | 13.76 | 18.34 |
| OC7E | 4.84 | 8.80 | 7.59 | 13.29 | 17.81 |
| OC7F | 5.36 | 8.66 | 7.67 | 13.31 | 17.81 |
| OC7J | 5.18 | 8.78 | 7.67 | 13.33 | 17.89 |
| OC8E | 5.56 | 8.19 | 7.8 | 13.24 | 18.74 |
| OC8K | 4.86 | 7.88 | 7.52 | 13.13 | 17.48 |
| OC9D | 5.26 |  | 7.70 | 13.35 | 17.82 |
| OC9E | 5.14 |  | 7.72 | 14.16 | 17.8 |
| OC9H | 5.16 |  | 7.73 | 13.36 | 17.86 |
| OC9Q | 4.93 | 7.14 | 7.52 | 13.03 | 17.70 |
| OC9R | 4.80 | 7.18 | 7.46 | 13.16 | 17.74 |
| OC9W | 4.78 |  | 7.46 | 13.05 | 17.68 |

*Note - Measured beam FWHM by series (in arcsec) as geometric mean of FWHM\_x and FWHM\_y in planet calibration total intensity OTF maps.*

***A picture containing table

Description automatically generated***

Figure *Measured FWHM for HAWC+ bands per flight series. HAWC+ Band B data has been scaled by 1.3 in the figure for display purpose.*

Table 11: Calibration Factor for Total Intensity Observations by Series

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Flight Series | Band A | | Band B | | Band C | | Band D | | Band E | |
| CalFact | Error | CalFact | Error | CalFact |  | CalFact | Error | CalFact | Error |
| OC4L | 531.5866 | 47.6003 |  |  | 892.6512 | 89.9788 | 719.8665 | 72.1119 | 465.2139 | 65.857 |
| OC5E | 613.2932 | 19.0046 |  |  | 826.414 | 28.1817 | 669.9188 | 24.2275 | 461.9426 | 18.9066 |
| OC5N | 634.1024 | 90.29572 |  |  | 899.0834 | 83.35042 | 692.8599 | 76.38679 | 485.5034 | 43.85338 |
| OC6I | 618.0737 | 26.52911 |  |  | 860.9824 | 28.48989 | 644.6821 | 128.3532 | 450.6914 | 81.78254 |
| OC6K | 578.4474 | 115.5323 |  |  | 757.6982 | 87.38336 | 691.4336 | 51.44219 | 480.5152 | 27.14225 |
| OC6T | 830.6628 | 10.61458 |  |  | 1036.716 | 16.50611 | 877.8913 | 30.32823 | 539.7891 | 6.96667 |
| OC7E | 619.008 | 55.99365 | 343.1956 | 39.71574 | 811.9731 | 81.74573 | 721.2826 | 83.45177 | 487.2026 | 56.27482 |
| OC7F | 620.073 | 39.36235 | 312.4996 | 20.94531 | 773.4957 | 46.87186 | 634.0448 | 42.49148 | 467.5507 | 28.24875 |
| OC7J | 547.9513 | 55.3603 | 329.1015 | 46.56454 | 953.1502 | 134.9533 | 761.6469 | 107.8834 | 529.2494 | 74.85494 |
| OC8E | 622.3489 | 88.01688 | 281.6077 | 40.21946 | 800.8533 | 113.9648 | 682.2102 | 96.48536 | 500.6764 | 57.96494 |
| OC8K | 717.5589 | 101.4894 | 128.1026 | 14.88703 | 1008.653 | 90.52758 | 705.9126 | 70.61619 | 468.8898 | 54.41716 |
| OC9D | 573.6752 | 66.65963 |  |  | 815.7689 | 81.59452 | 627.4951 | 62.96433 | 492.4138 | 56.88125 |
| OC9E | 535.9423 | 53.61135 |  |  | 832.5478 | 166.51 | 609.9407 | 121.9889 | 601.886 | 120.3785 |
| OC9H | 639.0802 | 127.8161 |  |  | 841.6438 | 168.3288 | 703.8367 | 140.7674 | 503.8097 | 100.7637 |
| OC9Q | 484.1013 | 68.70025 | 170.6824 | 34.13908 | 542.2656 | 77.06308 | 381.0703 | 53.89993 | 287.4106 | 40.78539 |
| OC9R | 563.449 | 79.91132 | 164.3324 | 32.86796 | 673.8384 | 95.59992 | 491.3331 | 56.85928 | 287.895 | 33.35579 |
| OC9W | 631.725 | 73.35955 |  |  | 780.1998 | 90.14723 | 619.6513 | 71.84827 | 445.1431 | 44.76555 |
| Average | 605.919 | 68.61652 |  |  | 846.7939 | 92.28771 | 677.4844 | 82.21562 | 488.6206 | 59.4351 |

Table 12: Calibration Factor and Errors For Polarization Observations by Series

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Flight Series | Band A | | Band B | | Band C | | Band D | | Band E | |
| CalFact | Error | CalFact | Error | CalFact | Error | CalFact | Error | CalFact | Error |
| OC4L | 529.3957 | 40.0502 |  |  | 814.1646 | 72.9318 | 681.2381 | 61.001 | 404.1233 | 80.8278 |
| OC5E | 594.4048 | 48.5514 |  |  | 814.1646 | 72.9318 | 627.8488 | 56.1653 | 526.6181 | 53.0031 |
| OC5N |  |  |  |  | 870.9155 | 9.87736 |  |  | 446.8184 | 89.36379 |
| OC6I | 647.1005 | 129.4201 |  |  | 761.0637 | 152.213 | 583.4052 | 116.6831 | 394.7503 | 22.20083 |
| OC6K |  |  |  |  | 738.5289 | 147.7058 |  |  |  |  |
| OC6T | 631.6367 | 126.3274 |  |  | 1007.624 | 201.5248 |  |  |  |  |
| OC7E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OC7F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OC7J |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OC8E | 531.7518 | 75.7286 | 295.8104 | 59.16499 | 784.1264 | 111.0828 | 562.6639 | 79.58747 | 487.0727 | 58.66605 |
| OC8K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OC9D | 496.5045 | 49.658 |  |  | 755.8443 | 75.62645 | 634.6174 | 63.62476 | 453.3911 | 52.35888 |
| OC9E | 488.0999 | 69.03426 |  |  | 799.7852 | 159.9611 | 630.5219 | 72.97638 | 613.6634 | 122.742 |
| OC9H | 526.1056 | 60.81094 |  |  | 817.8876 | 116.5578 | 626.7139 | 56.1875 | 477.8131 | 42.76166 |
| OC9Q | 392.8583 | 78.57586 |  |  | 542.6094 | 108.5389 | 378.1522 | 53.51606 | 333.4682 | 47.39652 |
| OC9R |  |  |  |  | 695.426 | 139.0961 | 452.2578 | 52.28364 | 307.7514 | 43.72281 |
| OC9W | 523.415 | 74.0722 |  |  | 686.1202 | 79.24375 | 584.7185 | 67.5632 | 474.8973 | 67.69228 |
| Average | 542.0972 | 68.41572 | 295.8104 | 59.16499 | 784.2601 | 99.81317 | 616.466 | 71.72359 | 475.4609 | 65.51294 |

Calibration factors for total intensity observations by series, with errors, based on planet scans in OTFMAP observing mode are listed in Table 11. Series in red are considered outliers and omitted from the overall average.

Timeline

Description automatically generated

Timeline

Description automatically generated

Figure *Trends in reference calibration factor, per band, derived from the total intensity observations in OTFMAP mode for each HAWC+ series. In this figure, data for HAWC+ Band A is shown in blue, Band B is green, Bans C is red, Band D is cyan, and Band E is magenta. The dashed line is the average reference calibration value for each of the bands. The colored shaded region shows the nominal 20% calibration requirement.*

The calculated calibration factors for polarization observations by series, with errors, based on planet scans in OTFMAP observing mode are listed in Table 12 below and shown in the associated Figure 31. Series in red are considered outliers and omitted from the overall average.

Timeline

Description automatically generated




Figure *Trends in* *reference calibration factor, per band, for polarization observations for each HAWC+ series. In this figure, data for HAWC+ Band A is shown in blue, Band B is green, Bans C is red, Band D is cyan, and Band E is magenta. The dashed line is the average reference calibration value for each of the bands. The colored shaded region shows the nominal 20% calibration requirement.*

1. Color Correction Factors

Table 13: Color Corrections K for HAWC+ Filters\*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 53um | 63um | 89um | 155um | 216um |
| ∝=-4 | 1.004 | 1.003 | 1.004 | 1.005 | 1.005 |
| ∝=-3 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ∝=-2 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ∝=-1 | 1.004 | 1.003 | 1.004 | 1.005 | 1.005 |
| ∝=0 | 1.011 | 1.008 | 1.011 | 1.016 | 1.015 |
| ∝=1 | 1.021 | 1.016 | 1.023 | 1.031 | 1.031 |
| ∝=2 | 1.035 | 1.027 | 1.038 | 1.053 | 1.052 |
| ∝=3 | 1.053 | 1.041 | 1.057 | 1.080 | 1.079 |
| T=10000K | 1.035 | 1.027 | 1.038 | 1.053 | 1.052 |
| T=5000K | 1.035 | 1.027 | 1.037 | 1.052 | 1.052 |
| T=3000K | 1.035 | 1.027 | 1.037 | 1.052 | 1.052 |
| T=1000K | 1.033 | 1.026 | 1.036 | 1.051 | 1.051 |
| T=750K | 1.032 | 1.025 | 1.036 | 1.051 | 1.051 |
| T=500K | 1.030 | 1.024 | 1.034 | 1.050 | 1.050 |
| T=300K | 1.026 | 1.022 | 1.032 | 1.048 | 1.049 |
| T=200K | 1.022 | 1.019 | 1.029 | 1.046 | 1.047 |
| T=150K | 1.017 | 1.015 | 1.026 | 1.044 | 1.046 |
| T=100K | 1.007 | 1.009 | 1.020 | 1.039 | 1.042 |
| T=70K | 0.998 | 1.002 | 1.012 | 1.033 | 1.038 |
| T=50K | 0.990 | 0.995 | 1.003 | 1.024 | 1.032 |
| T=30K | 1.004 | 0.995 | 0.990 | 1.006 | 1.018 |

*\* The factor K converts an input flux density to that of a nominal ‘flat spectrum’ source at the mean wavelength of the filter. The input spectral shape is described by a power law (Fn µ na) or a blackbody with a temperature T.*

Table 14: Isophotal Wavelengths for HAWC+ Filters

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ∝=-4 | 53.656 | 63.342 | 89.478 | 156.897 | 217.528 |
| ∝=-3 | 53.560 | 63.253 | 89.305 | 156.480 | 216.969 |
| ∝=-2 | 53.467 | 63.166 | 89.137 | 156.073 | 216.416 |
| ∝=-1 | 53.373 | 63.079 | 88.969 | 155.665 | 215.864 |
| ∝=0 | 53.280 | 62.995 | 88.805 | 155.266 | 215.318 |
| ∝=1 | 53.189 | 62.912 | 88.643 | 154.872 | 214.777 |
| ∝=2 | 53.098 | 62.830 | 88.484 | 154.483 | 214.241 |
| ∝=3 | 53.008 | 62.750 | 88.326 | 154.097 | 213.713 |
| T=10000K | 53.099 | 62.831 | 88.485 | 154.485 | 214.244 |
| T=5000K | 53.100 | 62.832 | 88.487 | 154.487 | 214.246 |
| T=3000K | 53.103 | 62.834 | 88.489 | 154.490 | 214.249 |
| T=1000K | 53.114 | 62.842 | 88.500 | 154.506 | 214.264 |
| T=750K | 53.120 | 62.846 | 88.506 | 154.513 | 214.272 |
| T=500K | 53.132 | 62.856 | 88.518 | 154.530 | 214.287 |
| T=300K | 53.160 | 62.875 | 88.544 | 154.563 | 214.320 |
| T=200K | 53.200 | 62.904 | 88.580 | 154.608 | 214.362 |
| T=150K | 53.248 | 62.937 | 88.619 | 154.655 | 214.406 |
| T=100K | 53.372 | 63.019 | 88.711 | 154.759 | 214.501 |
| T=70K | 53.676 | 63.179 | 88.861 | 154.912 | 214.635 |
| T=50K | - | 64.014 | 89.154 | 155.153 | 214.839 |
| T=30K | - | - | - | 155.972 | 215.441 |

Table 15: Wavelengths for HAWC+ Filters

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 53um | 63um | 89um | 155um | 216um |
| Mean λ | 53.560 | 63.253 | 89.305 | 156.480 | 216.969 |
| Pivot λ | 53.280 | 62.995 | 88.805 | 155.266 | 215.318 |
| Prime λ | 53.373 | 63.079 | 88.969 | 155.665 | 215.864 |

1. Important HAWC+ Header Keywords

Some of the more important header entries for HAWC+ are explained below. The common values the header keywords have for science data are also provided. Other values may be possible, but only in engineering data. Review of other general keywords in header that are not specific to HAWC+ or not covered below are in the *SOFIA FIT Keyword Dictionary* available at the [IRSA SOFIA Data Processing website](https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SOFIA/docs/data/data-processing/index.html).

The header information below is divided into major subsections of the HAWC+ header, which are demarcated in the headers with the same names as the table titles. HAWC+ did commissioning and science observations from Cycle 4 to Cycle 9, and as the observatory software changed over time, housekeeping data and FITS keywords were added or modified. Old keywords were kept for backwards compatibility, but over time became antiquated. Keywords below that may not be reliable or have been changed over time are marked with an asterisk and a comment containing further information.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| --- DCS DATA COLLECTION --- | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| CHOPPING | T/F | T if telescope was chopping during observation (should never be F) |
| NODDING | T/F | T if telescope was nodding during observation (this will rarely be F) |
| DITHER | T/F | T if telescope was dithering during observation |
| MAPPING | T/F | Should always be F (HAWC+ does not utilize the telescope’s default mapping functionality) |
| SCANNING | T/F | T is the telescope was scanning. |
| NONSIDE | T/F | This will be set to T if the target being observed is a non-sidereal target |
| MISSN-ID | [STRING] | Unique mission identifier, identifying the SOFIA flight. |
| AOR\_ID | [STRING] | Unique astronomical observation request identifier |
| OBS\_ID | [STRING] | Unique SOFIA identifier made up of MISSN-ID, AOR\_ID and the file number |
| --- DCS INSTRUMENT --- | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| INSTCFG | TOTAL\_INTENSITY  POLARIZATION | HAWC+ instrument configuration either total\_intensity (imaging) or polarization. |
| INSTMODE | C2N (NMC)  OTFMAP | Mode of data collection: symmetric nod matched chop mode OR on-the-fly (OTF) mapping mode. |
| EXPTIME | [FLOAT] | Nominal on-source integration time. This is the time that should be used for science. |
| SPECTEL1 | HAW\_A  HAW\_B  HAW\_C  HAW\_D  HAW\_E | Filter used during observations |
| SPECTEL2 | HAW\_HWP\_A  HAW\_HWP\_B  HAW\_HWP\_C  HAW\_HWP\_D  HAW\_HWP\_E  HAW\_HWP\_Open | Halfwave plate used for polarimetric observations. For total intensity observations HAW\_HWP\_Open is used. |
| WAVECENT | [FLOAT] | Calculated mean wavelength of the filter based upon instrument throughput |
| --- DCS ARRAY DETECTOR --- | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| DETECTOR | HAWC | Detector name. |
| PIXSCAL | [FLOAT] | The pixel scale for HAWC+ filters. The pixel scale is different for different HAWC+ filters. For exact values see Table 1. |
| --- DCS CHOPPING --- | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| CHPFREQ | [FLOAT] | Full cycle chop rate in Hz |
| CHPSYM | 2\_point\_symmetric  no\_chop | Chop symmetry. no\_chop is used for OFTMAPs |
| CHPAMP1 | [FLOAT] | Chop amplitude in arcsec |
| CHPCRSYS | ERF  SIRF | This the coordinate systems the chopping is being defined in: ERF is the Equatorial Reference Frame (aka Sky coordinates) and SIRF is the Science Instrument Reference Frame (aka Array coordinates) |
| CHPANGLE | [FLOAT] | Actual chop angle in degrees in the CHPCRSYS coordinate system measured by telescope encoders |
| --- DCS NODDING --- | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| NODTIME | [FLOAT] | Integration time at each nod position. |
| NODN | [INTEGER] | Number of nods requested |
| NODAMP | [FLOAT] | Total distance telescope nodded in arcsec (aka “nod throw” since it is not really an amplitude) |
| NODPATT | ABBA | HAWC+ has only one nod pattern, ABBA. |
| \*NODSTYLE | NMC | HAWC+ has only one nod style, NMC. |
| NODCRSYS | ERF  SIRF | This is the coordinate system the nodding is being defined in: ERF is the Equatorial Reference Frame (aka Sky coordinates) and SIRF is the Science Instrument Reference Frame (aka Array coordinates) |
| NODANGLE | [FLOAT] | Actual nod angle in degrees in the NODCRSYS coordinate system measured by telescope encoders |
| --- DCS DITHERING --- | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| DTHCRSYS | ERF  SIRF | This is the coordinate system the dithering is being defined in: ERF is the Equatorial Reference Frame (aka Sky coordinates) and SIRF is the Science Instrument Reference Frame (aka Array coordinates) |
| DTHXOFF | [FLOAT] | Dither offset in x coordinates and in DTHUNITS defined in DTHCRSYS coordinate system |
| DTHYOFF | [FLOAT] | Dither offset in y coordinates and in DTHUNITS defined in DTHCRSYS coordinate system |
| DTHNPOS | [INTEGER] | Number of dither positions |
| DTHUNITS | STRING | Dither units; pixels or arcsec |
| DTHSCALE | [FLOAT] | Dither scale in DTHUNITS defined in DTHCRSYS coordinate system |
| --- DCS SCANNING --- | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| SCNPATT | STRING | Scan pattern: Lissajous or Raster |
| SCNRATE | [FLOAT] | Scan rate in arcsec per second |
| SCNANGLS | [FLOAT] | Starting scan angle in degrees |
| SCNANGLF | [FLOAT] | Final scan angle in degrees |
| SCNAMPEL | [FLOAT] | Scan amplitude in elevation in arcsec |
| SCNAMPXL | [FLOAT] | Scan amplitude in cross elevation in arcsec |
| SCNDUR | [FLOAT] | Total scan duration |
| SCNSTEPS | [INTEGER] | Number of raster scan steps |
| SCNLEN | [FLOAT] | Size of raster scan in arcsec |
| SCNSTEP | [FLOAT] | Raster scan step size in arcsec |
| --- PIPELINE RELATED KEYWORDS (IMAGING) — | | |
| FITS Keyword | Value | Description |
| ASSC\_AOR | STRING | If data is processed in a multi-mission procedure then this is the list of all the associated AORs |
| ASSC\_MSN | STRING | If data is processed in a multi-mission procedure then this is the list of all the associated mission IDs |
| CALFCTR | [FLOAT] | Calibration factor (Me/s/Jy), same as the “reference calibration factor” given in the HISTORY section of the header (see Section 4.3.1). Used as a divider for OPS or MRG files to convert to CAL or STD files |
| ERRCALF | [FLOAT] | Calibration factor uncertainty (Me/s/Jy) |
| LAMREF | [FLOAT] | Reference wavelength (microns), as given in Table 7.2-2. |
| LAMPIVOT | [FLOAT] | Pivot wavelength (microns), as given in Table 7.2-2. |
| REFCALF3 | [STRING] | Filename of the calibration file found in the pipeline |
| COLRCORR | [FLOAT] | Color correction factor |
| DATAQUAL | [STRING] | Data quality keyword either NOMINAL or USABLE |

D.1 HISTORY Section of HAWC+ FITS Data Headers

Below is an example of selected parts of the HISTORY section at the bottom of the HAWC+ FITS headers containing information that may be of importance or require further explanation. This section of the FITS headers is annotated with explanations.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| HISTORY Merged Files:  HISTORY OBS\_ID: P\_2019-07-18\_HA\_F598-07\_0081\_2-018  HISTORY OBS\_ID: P\_2019-07-18\_HA\_F598-07\_0081\_2-019  HISTORY OBS\_ID: P\_2019-07-18\_HA\_F598-07\_0081\_2-020  HISTORY OBS\_ID: P\_2019-07-18\_HA\_F598-07\_0081\_2-023  HISTORY OBS\_ID: P\_2019-07-18\_HA\_F598-07\_0081\_2-025  HISTORY OBS\_ID: P\_2019-07-18\_HA\_F598-07\_0081\_2-026  HISTORY Reduced: fluxjump 2023-08-09\_15:49:59  HISTORY CONFIG: config/pipeconf.cfg  HISTORY CONFIG: config/date\_overrides/pipeconf\_oc7e.cfg  HISTORY Reduced: demodulate 2023-08-09\_15:50:41  HISTORY demodulate: chop\_tol=0.2  HISTORY demodulate: nod\_tol=5.0  HISTORY demodulate: hwp\_tol=2.0  HISTORY demodulate: az\_tol=5000000.0  HISTORY demodulate: el\_tol=5000000.0  HISTORY demodulate: track\_tol=beam  HISTORY demodulate: track\_extra=[0.0, 0.0]  HISTORY demodulate: chopphase=True  HISTORY demodulate: checkhwp=True  HISTORY demodulate: phaseoffset=0.0  HISTORY Using reference files:  HISTORY hawc\_plus/response/rfit\_am\_20181128.txt  HISTORY hawc\_plus/response/rfit\_alt\_20181128.txt  HISTORY Reference ZA=45.0, altitude=41.0  HISTORY Telluric correction factor for ZA=38.95, altitude=38.0255:  HISTORY 1.1333511855581766  HISTORY Flux calibration information:  HISTORY Using reference file: hawc\_plus/ref\_calfctr/OC7E\_20230815.txt  HISTORY Average reference calibration factor:  HISTORY 45.74922 +/- 9.14985  HISTORY Data has been divided by cal factor to convert  HISTORY from counts to Jy | < This section includes the file identifiers used in this reduction. This is basically a list of input files.  < This section has detailed on any specific flags used during data processing. In this example we see that the fixjumps flag was used.  < Each pipeline module will have its own section with the list of parameters used to reduce the data.  < Section identifying the reference files and parameters used during opacity correction.  < Section identifying the flux calibration reference files and parameters used to flux calibrate the data. |