



# CCAT Design, Science and SOFIA Synergy

Gordon Stacey Cornell University







•A 25meter submillimeter telescope that will operate at wavelengths as short as  $\lambda = 200 \,\mu$ m, an atmospheric limit.

Why 25m?

- Match ALMA sensitivity at submm regime
- Integration time to confusion at 350 um > 1 hr
- Better than 0.5" source positioning
- It will be located in a desert environment, at very high elevation (5600m, or 18400 ft)
- Designed for maximal synergy with ALMA
- It will take advantage of the fastest-developing detector technology of any spectral range, opening up the last, largely untapped frontier of ground-based astronomical research



#### Table 1. Telescope requirements

Parameter	Requirement [Goal]	Notes		
Wavelength Aperture	350 to 1400 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ [200 to 3500 $\mu \mathrm{m}]$ 25 m	Primary science band is $\lambda$ =350 µm 1" positions for followup, exceeds ALMA sensitivity at $\lambda$ = 350 µm, limited by cost		
Field of view	20' [1°]	Limited to 1 <sup>°</sup> by curvature of field		
Emissivity	$< 0.1 \ \lambda \ge 300 \ \mu \mathrm{m} \ [< 0.05 \ \lambda \ge 800 \ \mu \mathrm{m}]$	Small cf. atmospheric loss		
	$< 0.20$ at $\lambda = 200 \ \mu m$			
Half wavefront error	$< 12.5 \ \mu m \ rms \ [< 9.5 \ \mu m \ rms]$	$< 1.5 \times$ longer integration time		
Blind pointing	2" rms [0.5" rms]	$<1/2$ FWHM beam at $\lambda = 350 \ \mu m$		
Offset pointing	$0.35" \times \lambda/350 \ \mu m \ rms$	1/10th beam within 1° of last		
Pointing stability	0.35" $\rm hr^{-1} \times \lambda/350~\mu m~rms$	pointing measurement 1/10th beam change between pointing measurements every hour		
Slow scan speed	$0.2^{\circ}s^{-1}$ in EL, $0.4^{\circ}s^{-1}$ in AZ	For $\lambda \leq 620 \ \mu \text{mm}$ ,		
Slow scan acceleration Fast scan speed	$0.4^{\circ}s^{-2}$ $1^{\circ}s^{-1}$ in EL, $2^{\circ}s^{-1}$ in AZ	200 Hz in timestrean at $\lambda = 350 \ \mu \text{mm}$ For $\lambda \le 620 \ \mu \text{m}$ , 1 s turn around time For $\lambda > 620 \ \mu \text{m}$ , 200 Hz in timestrean at $\lambda = 2 \ \text{mm}$		
Fast scan acceleration	$2^{\circ}s^{-2}$	For $\lambda > 620 \ \mu m$ , 1 s turn around time		
Following error in scan	$< 1.8" \times (\lambda/350 \ \mu m) \ rms$	Half FWHM beamwidth		
Pointing knowledge in scan	$0.35" \times (\lambda/350 \ \mu m) \ rms$	1/10th beam		







•A 25meter submillimeter telescope that will operate at wavelengths as short as  $\lambda = 200$  micron, an atmospheric limit.

Why 25m?

- Match ALMA sensitivity at submm regime
- Integration time to confusion at 350 um > 1 hr
- Better than 0.5" source positioning

• It will be located in a desert environment, at very high elevation (5600m, or 18400 ft)

- Good fraction of time with PWV<0.5mm

Designed for maximal synergy with ALMA

 It will take advantage of the fastest-developing detector technology of any spectral range, opening up the last, largely untapped frontier of ground-based astronomical research



# At the driest, high altitude site you can drive a truck to



Cerro Chajnantor | (18,400 ft)





Is it really worth going just (!) 2000 ft (13%) higher than ALMA?



A little gain in PWV by going to summit

PWV=precipitable water vapor

B most PWV below summit; great gain by going to summit



T-inversion layers form above extended plateaus. Much of the PWV gets trapped under them. Is it worth focusing on surrounding summits? YES! if case B occurs a fair fraction of the time.



#### Median WV Distribution over Chajnantor

#### From radiosondes:

The median WV scale height Is h=1.135 km

However, it becomes shallower at night...









•A 25meter submillimeter telescope that will operate at wavelengths as short as  $\lambda = 200$  micron, an atmospheric limit.

Why 25m?

- Match ALMA sensitivity at submm regime
- Integration time to confusion at 350 um > 1 hr
- Better than 0.5" source positioning

• It will be located in a desert environment, at very high elevation (5600m, or 18400 ft)

- Good fraction of time with PWV<0.5mm

Designed for maximal synergy with ALMA

```
- Wide FoV; fast surveyor
```

 It will take advantage of the fastest-developing detector technology of any spectral range, opening up the last, largely untapped frontier of ground-based astronomical research



#### Synergy with ALMA

ALMA will deliver very high spatial resolution, but only over a very small Field of View:

→ Will reveal fine detail, ONE SOURCE at a time



CCAT will not match ALMA in angular resolution; it will however match it in sensitivity and will have a Field of View > 240,000 times larger → Fast Surveyor (MANY objects at a time)

Large scale projects coordinated between the two facilities?



#### CCAT & ALMA

CCAT's instantaneous field of view (350  $\mu$ m, 48 kpix 1<sup>st</sup> light camera)







## Who is CCAT?

A joint project of Cornell University, the California Institute of Technology the University of Colorado, the Universities of Waterloo & British Columbia, the Universities of Bonn & Cologne, and Associated Universities, Inc.

• • •



#### Brief Timeline-1

- 2003 :
- 2004:
- 2006:

Cornell invites Caltech to dance, Workshop in Pasadena MOU signed by Caltech and Cornell, Project Office established, Feasibility Study Feasibility Study Review



#### Feasibility Study Review

Review Panel: Robert Wilson (Harvard-Smithsonian, Chair) Mark Devlin (Penn) Fred Lo (NRAO) Matt Mountain (STScI) Peter Napier (NRAO) Jerry Nelson (UCSC) Adrian Russell (ALMA, NA)

"CCAT is an important and timely project that will make fundamental contributions to our understanding of the processes of galaxy, star and planetary formation, both on its own and through its connection with ALMA. It should not wait."



### Brief Timeline-2

- 2003 :
- 2004:
- 2006:
- 2006-2010:

Cornell invites Caltech to dance, Workshop in Pasadena MOU signed by Caltech and Cornell, Project Office established, Feasibility Study Feasibility Study Review Expand partnership, finalize site selection, review high risk issues, initiate engineering design, consolidate consortium, Astro2010

2010-2013: 2013-2017:

Engineering Design Phase, Critical Design Rev. Construction  $\rightarrow$  First light



#### Friday the 13<sup>th</sup> of August brings good news from Astro2010





New Worlds, New Horizons in Astronomy and Astrophysics

Committee for a Decadal Survey of Astronomy and Astrophysics

National Research Council



#### Quoting Astro2010:

The Section Recommendations for New Ground-Based Activities - Medium Projects, page 7-37, starts with:

"Only one medium project is called out, because it is ranked most highly. Other projects in this category should be submitted to the Mid-Scale Innovations Program for competitive review."

The one project is CCAT.

In pages 1-12 and 7-38: "CCAT is called out to progress promptly [...] because of its strong science case, its importance to ALMA and its readiness."

#### Astro2010 has given CCAT an extraordinary window of opportunity.

.. but one of the strongest merits of CCAT is its synergy with ALMA...

.. and ALMA will be completed by 2014

→ Proposal submitted to NSF asking \$4.85M to complete EDP by early 2013



#### CCAT Cost

CCAT was asked to provide Astro2010 detailed information to be used for the CATE process carried out by the Aerospace Corp.

Their estimates of the cost and time to completion of construction were higher than the project team's:

- → \$140M vs. \$110M
   → 2020 vs. 2017
- Engineering Design Phase goal: reduce error in estimate

Over last 5 yr the CCAT project \$ burn rate has been \$1-2M/yr, adding up to > \$6.7M, fully funded by partners.



# Scientific Motivation for CCAT



### The Universe is Dusty



Goods 850-5 (z=4.1) in optical (Hubble, left) and submm (SMA, right)

Antenna Optical (Hubble, left) and submm (SHARC/CSO, right)





It is so dusty that half the energy of stars integrated over the history of the universe is reprocessed by dust into the far-IR submm bands!

Throughout cosmic time, stars formed in dust obscured



COBE (1996)

Lagache, Puget, & Dole 2005



250µm

CC

#### 350 µm

GOODS-N



Herschel/SPIRE



Herschel



NASA/JPL-Caltech / SINGS

#### CSO/SPT/JCMT

ESA & The PACS Consortium

CCAT

### Bigger IS better





#### Most Distant is Better Still...



Redshift distribution of sources for ATACamera on SPT at 350 and 850 μm. 350/850  $\mu$ m flux density ratio<sup>The</sup> for a  $10^{12}L_{\odot}$  galaxy as a function set of redshift.

> CCAT Vi@6



#### To find the distant ones, look for dropouts



- Simulated ATACamera on SPT at 350 and 850  $\mu$ m 4 hours/pixel<sup>Submill</sup>
- Circled sources: >5  $\sigma$  detections at 850  $\mu$ m that drop out at 350  $\mu$ m<sub>er</sub>
- There are the 85 350  $\mu$ m drop-outs in the image.

The CCAT View



#### What will we see?

- Primary science Exploration of the Kuiper Belt Star and planetary system formation Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect Surveys of star forming galaxies in the early Universe These science topics emphasize wide-field imaging – hence our first light instruments will include cameras
- Studies of primordial galaxies requires redshifts we also include direct detection spectrometers



### **Baseline CCAT Instrumentation**

### Three Primary Science Instruments Submillimeter wave camera Near millimeter wave camera Multi-object direct detection spectrometer Z-spec ZEUS/ZEUS-2 Transferred, and future instrumentation Full FoV cameras Heterodyne spectrometers/arrays

# Submm Camera: Summary

- We envision a > 50,000 pixel submm camera at first light
- Primary band is 350  $\mu$ m ~ 40,000 pixels  $\Leftrightarrow$  5' FoV • Filter wheel to access 450, 620, (200)  $\mu$ m Dichroic splits off a long wavelength 850 µm band Or perhaps more likely we will have an (independent) mm wave camera for 740 µm and longer wavelengths At least 10,000 pixels at longer wavelengths Detectors likely MKID arrays Advanced Technology Array Camera ATACamera



# **MKID Principles**



- Photon detector is incorporated into a superconducting resonator circuit
- Photon absorption causes the frequency and linewidth of the resonator to change
- Frequency domain multiplexing achieved by designing resonators with slightly different resonant frequencies and using a broadband low noise microwave amplifier to read out the array <sup>31</sup>



## Predicted Sensitivity

Table 5: Detector Noise Requirements and System Sensitivity							
Telescope/S	350 μm Band		850 µm Band				
ite							
	NEP	NEFD	MDF	NEP	NEFD	MDF	
CSO/Maun	<b>1.E-16</b>	870	29	<b>4.6E-17</b>	72	2.4	
a Kea							
ASTE/Atac	1.3E-16	406	13.5	3.5E-17	57	1.9	
ama							
SPT/South	1.1E-16	249	8.5	3.0E-17	48	1.55	
Pole							
CCAT/Chaj	1.1E-16	22.8	0.78	3.0E-17	7.1	0.23	
nantor <sup>-</sup>							
Values for OI	transna rancy	NEP is WH7-12	2 NEED is as Iv	1 or 1 sec M	) F is m Iv Art.	A hours	

Can detect Milky Way at  $z \sim 1$  to 2!



How Many Sources

Table 2: Sources per Square Degree						
Band	CSO	ASTE	SPT	CCAT		
350 µm	340	2060	5180	55600		
4σ(mJy)	29	13.5	8.5	0.78		
CL.	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.3		
850 µm	2430	4150	6290	52000		
4σ(mJy)	2.4	1.9	1.55	0.23		
CL.	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.7		
Confusion limit (C.L.) is 10 beams/source						

4 hours/pixel, 2000 hour survey – 14° survey in 2 years

Approaches half a million sources/year



### Transmillimeter Wave Camera –Sunil Golwala

- Low wavelength Camera for CCAT
- Antenna-coupled arrays of bolometers
  - Single polarization antenna coupled design leads to a simple way to cover multiple bands with varying pixel sizes
  - Nb slot antenna and microstrip limits shortest  $\lambda$  to > 740 um (405 GHz)
- Beam definition achieved with phased array antenna
- Signal detection with either MKIDS or TES devices

# **Direct Detection Spectrometers**

For broad-band spectroscopy of broad, faint lines, direct detection spectrometers are the instruments of choice.

- Detectors are not subject to the quantum noise limit and are now sufficiently sensitive to ensure background limited performance at high resolving powers
- Very large bandwidths  $\Delta v \sim v$  are possible

Need to consider 3 types of direct detection spectrometers

- Fourier Transform spectrometers: naturally broad band
- Fabry-Perot interferometers: high sensitivity, but must scan
- Grating spectrometers: spectral multiplexing monochrometer
  - Free space spectrometers
  - Waveguide spectrometers
- Niche for all systems: here we focus on grating spectrometers since we are interested in maximizing point source sensitivity

### Compact Waveguide Spectrometer: Z-spec





### Z-Spec as a Redshift Engine



Fig. 1.— The Z-Spec spectra of four submillimeter bright H-ATLAS galaxies. The fit to the continuum and CO lines at the measured redshift is overplotted in red, and the positions of the strongest lines falling in the Z-Spec bandpass are indicated by the vertical blue lines. The line indicated in red in the spectrum of SDP.130 is unidentified.

Broad bandwidth is very useful for determining redshifts of submm galaxies Observed (redshifted) spacing between CO rotational lines given by:  $\Delta v = 115 \, \text{GHz} / (1+z)$ 

#### Lupu et al. 2010



## Free-space Spectrometers: ZEUS and ZEUS-2

● R ~ 1000 40 GHz BW • T<sub>rec</sub> < 40 K (SSB)





## **Design Choices**





ZEUS spectral coverage superposed on Mauna Kea windows on an excellent night



# ZEUS Traces [CII] Cooling Line

- 158 um [CII] line is dominant coolant of neutral ISM
- ZEUS can detect [CII] at z ~ 1 to 2 characterizing star formation in galaxies at the historic peak of star formation in the Universe
- ZEUS provides a unique opportunity to explore this epoch through the [CII] line
- Approximately 40% of the submm galaxy population has redshifts such that the [CII] line falls in the 350 (z ~ 1) or 450 (z~2) µm windows



With ZEUS-2 at Chajnantor we can extend these studies from z >4 to 0.25 -tracing the history of star formation from 12 Gyr ago, through its peak 10 Gyr ago to the present epoch



- The [CII]/FIR continuum ratio traces FUV radiation fields
- Find:
  - starbursters at z ~ 1-2 have M82-like FUV fields ⇒ very extended starbursts
  - Starformation enveloped galaxies at this epoch of galaxy assembly

- Find some AGN are also enveloped in kpc scale starbursts
- But by comparing with the [OII] line (e.g. Ferkinhoff et al. 2010) we find AGN starbursts are younger – AGN stimulates starbursts...



# Results: The [CII] to FIR Ratio



SB-D: R = 2.9±0.5 ×10<sup>-3</sup> AGN-D: R = 3.8±0.7×10<sup>-4</sup> Mixed – in between

SB-D to AGN-D ratio is ~ 8:1





## Spectral Imaging Capabilities



(Helfer et al. 2003)

Astrophysics

- [CI] line ratio: Strong constraints on T
- <sup>13</sup>CO(6-5) line: Strong constraints on CO opacity
- [NII] line: Cooling of ionized gas, and fraction of [CII] from ionized media
- Mapping Advantages
  - Spatial registration "perfect"
  - Corrections for telluric transmission coupled
  - Expected SNR for the five lines comparable



# Multi-Object Spectrometers

Free-space spectrometers like ZEUS-2 are trivially made into 1 (or 2) - d imaging systems, so it naturally becomes a multi-object spectrometer if we can "pipe" the light in.
 If configured in one band (say 350/450 μm), then the usable FoV of ZEUS-2 is > 20 beams
 To avoid source confusion, could configure with 10 feeds
 Z-Spec's modularity also lends itself well to multi-beam configurations through stacking of the planar waveguides.



Confusion  $\Rightarrow$  [CII] = FIR Continuum Detection Limits

 $\bigcirc$  ZEUS Survey of 13 – z  $\sim$  1 to 2 galaxies shows [CII]/FIR continuum ~ 0.2% Line/continuum ~ 10:1 • CCAT: 1 mJy  $\Rightarrow$  10 mJy in line  $\times$  1.9 THz/1000/(1+z)or  $1 \times 10^{-19}$  W/m<sup>2</sup> – easily detectable (10 $\sigma$ /4hrs) with ZEUS – like spectrometers on CCAT An image slicer grating spectrometer would be quite useful – sources are crowded



# Light Pipes: Quasi-optical Approach



Periscope based Multi-Object Spectrometer

Useful for observations of sources which have a low spatial density on the sky

Patrol regions over the focal plane assigned to each receiver

Low transmission losses since only four reflections



# SOFIA Synergy: Lines

- Bright fine-structure lines of roughly equal luminosity for most galaxies
  - [CII] 158 μm
  - [OIII] 52 and 88 μm
  - [OI] 63 and 146 μm
  - [NII] 122 and 205 μm
  - [NIII] 57 μm
- Within the windows, CCAT much (25 ×) more sensitive
- CCAT can't do these lines at until z > 1
  - More modest z purview of SOFIA trace the evolution in star formation rate
  - Complementary lines for high z (albeit somewhat higher L) sources.



# SOFIA Synergy: Continuum

- CCAT ~ 25 × more sensitive, but SED rises towards shorter wavelengths – factors of >10 – so that SOFIA can trace further down the luminosity function than in the lines – however, there will be some K correction going on...
- Local Universe :
  - 60 µm (SOFIA) ~ 6"
  - 38  $\mu$ m (SOFIA) ~ 4" but flux down
  - 350 µm (CCAT) ~ 4"
- Constrains dust SED
  - Temperature
  - Dust properties
  - Dust mass
  - Luminosity





# SOFIA Synergy: Galactic Science

- CCAT will survey tens of square degrees in the Milky Way – sampling different environments
  - Sensitive to clumps capable of forming 0.01  $M_{\odot}$  stars
  - Angular resolution sufficient to resolve 0.05 pc clump to 1 kpc
  - Multicolor imaging to get dust T and mass
  - Follow-up spectroscopy in molec ular lines and [CI] to probe dynamics, physical conditions of star-forming cloud

#### SOFIA will:

- Trace dust SED to < 38 µm vitally important if T<sub>dust</sub> > 10 K
   Enable observations of far-IR FS lines
- Enable observations of important infall tracers for protostellar candidates: e.g. water (via isotopes), OH, [OI], [FeII], [SI]...



# SUMMARY

CCAT is in the design study phase Looking for more partners first light anticipated in 2017 Great synergy with both: ALMA ■ finder – scope high spatial resolution follow-up of interesting sources SOFIA important obscured spectral lines Dust SED