

Revealing the Nature of Circumstellar Envelopes Around Class O Protostars: A Connection Between Spitzer, CARMA, and SOFIA



Kalas et al.

### **Prenatal Stars**

- Deeply embedded inside molecular clouds
- Very young 10,000 to 100,000 years old!(still cute and cuddly)
- Just a ball of dust and gas (1-10 million years until it burns hydrogen to helium— a standard star), shaped by gravity.

# The Cradle of Life



- Stretching an analogy.
- The young Earth was formed in the circumstellar disk that surrounded the protosun.
- The physical conditions in that disk lead to:
  - Terrestrial planets in the 0.4 to 2 AU region
  - Gas and ice giants in the 5 to 30 AU region.





Burrows et al. 1996

# The Crumbs from the Table of Star Formation

- Planets form in the circumstellar disk
  - Either through disk instabilities or dust growth
- How do they evolve to a few million years?
- Time period is central to early gas giant formation and planet migration scenarios.

#### **TWO PLANET FORMATION SCENARIOS**

#### Accretion model





Orbiting dust grains accrete into "planetesimals" through nongravitational forces.



Planetesimals grow, moving in near-coplanar orbits, to form "planetary embryos."



Gas-giant planets accrete gas envelopes before disk gas disappears.



Gas-giant planets scatter or accrete remaining planetesimals and embryos.

### . Illi

A protoplanetary disk of gas and dust forms around a young star.



Gravitational disk instabilities form a clump of gas that becomes a self-gravitating planet.



Dust grains coagulate and sediment to the center of the protoplanet, forming a core.



The planet sweeps out a wide gap as it continues to feed on gas in the disk.

NASA And A. Feild

### Star Formation: A Multi-Scale Problem





Arzoumanian et al. 2011

- What is the connection from large-scale clouds to low-mass cores
- Herschel shows the complexity and filaments—thickness of ~0.1 pc and r ~ r^{-1.5 to -2}

## Spitzer: New Regime for Class 0 Protostars

- The sensitivity necessary to image the scattered light of the youngest outflow cavities.
- The morphology used to probe fundamental properties of source such as opening angle, envelope mass, etc.
   (e.g., Whitney et al. 2003b,a; Tobin et al. 2007; Robitaille et al. 2007; Seale & Looney 2008).



## **Scattered Light**

Outflows carve cavities that allow detection of these deeply embedded objects and shock gas in the outflows



Tobin et al. 2007



### **Scattered Light Class 0**



40<sup>°</sup> 30°14'20<sup>°</sup>

3"26"42"40" 38

Seale & Looney 2008

3 35 32 30 28 25 24 22

00

# Modeling the Scattered Light









#### Visible (DSS / Caltech & AURA)

#### Infrared



Flattened Envelope around L1157 Protostar NASA / JPL-Caltech / L. Looney (University of Illinois) Spitzer Space Telescope • IRAC ssc2007-19a

# **8 Micron Absorption**

- Mass-weighted tracer
   – not dependent on
   temperature
- About 22 sources
- Highly irregular and non-axisymmetric morphologies on scales >1000 AU, with a quarter of the sample with filamentary or flattened



filamentary or flattened dense structures

### **Flattened Envelope**



### Protostellar Zoo





Contours:  $A_V = 10, 20, 30$ 

Tobin et al. (2010)

### Protostellar Zoo



Contours: A<sub>V</sub> = 10, 20, 30

Tobin et al. (2010)

L673

### Protostellar Zoo



Contours:  $A_v = 10, 20, 30$ 

Tobin et al. (2010)

#### Kinematics Example 1: L1157



- Broader linewidth in the inner envelope infall or outflow?

#### Kinematics Example 1: L1157



PdBI

at different velocity range

Red: 3.2-4.0 km/s Blue: 1.6-2.2 km/s Gray: Line-center

- Large-scale rotation  $\perp$  outflow
- Broader linewidth in the inner envelope: envelope-outflow interaction: envelope material entrained by outflow (Arce & Sargent 2006)

#### Kinematics Example 2: RNO 43



- Large-scale velocity gradient at NE side
   Velocity jump of c0.7 km/s i
- Velocity jump of ~0.7 km/s :
  - Another cloud layer along line of sight (Chen et al. 2007)
  - Colliding flow? (Heitsch et al. 2006)

Tobin et al. 2011

### Kinematics Example 3: CB 230



**CB230** 

Tobin et al. (2011)

### **Detailed Line Structure**



CB230

### Orientation of The Outflow



Tobin et al. 2011

### **Binary Formation**

- Non-axisymmetry may induce formation of binary stars
  - Mild perturbations shown to induce fragmentation (Burkert & Bodenheimer 1993)
  - Bonnell et al. (1992) shows binary formation at large and small scales





1000 AU

### **Detected Binary Systems**



### **SOFIA** Observations





Chiang et al. 2010

### Dust Continuum of Class 0 YSOs

- Examines the physical structure of protostellar envelopes
- Reveals the embedded circumstellar disk

 Envelope modeling with multi-wavelength data and theoretical models

 Self-consistent temperature profiles calculated by the RADMC radiative transfer code (Dullemond 2004)



### Example: L1157

#### Dust continuum, CARMA



No disk larger than ~100 AU

### **Dust Continuum Modeling**

### L1157



- Envelope with a power-law density profile
- Best fit (preliminary)
  - $p = 2.1 \quad (\rho \propto r^{-p})$
  - $\beta = 0.8 \qquad (\kappa \propto \nu^{\beta})$
- Disk is not necessary
- Not consistent with Shu's inside-out collapse scenario
- Early grain growth

### The Envelopes of Class 0 YSOs

- Good correlation between N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> emission and 8 micron extinction
- N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> peaks usually off protostars -- depletion
- Ordered velocity fields observed on large scales in most sources

   Not always aligned normal to outflow direction
   Multiple velocity components seen

Kinematic structures likely from a combination of infall and rotation

Impact of outflow in some cases

### Conclusions

- Envelope kinematics is complex
  - Non-axisymmetric
  - Rotation, infall, outflow, velocity components, chemistry
  - Non-axisymmetry may induce formation of binary stars
- Envelope structure -> embedded disk
   Test of theoretical models
   Currently no need for disks (cf. Enoch et al. 2009)