### SOFIA Tele-talk May 10, 2023

### The PDR in M17-SW analyzed with FIFI-LS onboard SOFIA

Randolf Klein (SOFIA/USRA) A. Reedy; Ch. Fischer; L. Looney; S. Colditz; D. Fadda; A. Tielens; W. Vacca

M17 with IRAC, FORCAST, PACS (Lim e al. 2020)



# Photodissociation Regions (PDRs)

분

<u>s</u>

ы

Hot Star(s)

- \* The classical schematic of a PDR from Hollenback & Tielens (1997)
- \* Ionizing stars on the left have created an HII region
- \* The radiation is eroding a molecular cloud on the right.
- Ionization and photodissociation of different species at different optical depths create various layers.
- \* The atoms and ions emit fine-structure lines in the far infrared (FIR) largely unaffected by extinction.



### Layers of fine-structure lines

- \* M17-SW is a well studied edge-on PDR region
- \* The goal was to create maps of the physical conditions in M17-SW
- \* We mapped M17-SW in six fine structure lines and three CO lines with FIFI-LS
- \* Four fine structure lines are shown
- Clear layering of ionized and PDR tracers

[O I]63µm (blue), [O III]88µm (green), [O I]146µm (yellow), and [C II]158µm (magenta); 50% colored contours; circles show respective beam sizes; green stars for spectral types earlier than O9, white crosses for O9 and O9.5 (Hoffmeister et al. 2008); arrow points north







- \* Far Infrared Field-Imaging Line Spectrometer
- \* Two independent channels in parallel:
  - \* Blue: 51–125 μm, 5x5 6" spaxels, 30" FOV
  - \* Red: 115–203 µm, 5x5 12" spaxels, 60" FOV
- \* Image slicer rearranges the 25 spaxels onto a pseudo-slit
- \* Gratings disperse the light onto 16 pixels.
- \*  $R = \lambda / \Delta \lambda = 500$  to 2000.

spaxel = spatial pixel

### FIFI-LS







\* Far Infr \* Two inc \* Blue: spectrograph slit \* Red: spatial dimension \* Image s pseudo Ision Grating \*\* ctra \*  $R = \lambda / \Delta$ 

SOFIA Tele-talk May 10, 2023



### FIFI-LS

### FIFI LS FOCAL PLANE "FOOTPRINT" ON SKY AND PROJECTION ON DETECTOR ARRAYS



16 x 25 pixel detector array







- \* Far Infrared Field-Imaging Line Spectrometer
- \* Two independent channels in parallel:
  - \* Blue: 51–125 μm, 5x5 6" spaxels, 30" FOV
  - \* Red: 115–203 µm, 5x5 12" spaxels, 60" FOV
- \* Image slicer rearranges the 25 spaxels onto a pseudo-slit
- \* Gratings disperse the light onto 16 pixels.
- \*  $R = \lambda / \Delta \lambda = 500$  to 2000.

spaxel = spatial pixel

## FIFI-LS





# From Data Cubes to Intensity Maps

Simultaneous fitting of:

- \* Line flux,
- \* Continuum, and
- \* Water vapor (main parameter for atmospheric absorption with ATRAN model

Other Parameters set from a-priory knowledge:

- Line center and width
- \* Altitude and airmass

SOFIA Tele-talk May 10, 2023



Example fit of an [OI]146µm line



# Estimating and Propagating Uncertainties

- \* Data reduction requires re-gridding into a regular data cube
- Uncertainties are estimated from distribution of all measurements around each data cube cell (Dario Fadda, github:darioflute/fifipy/cubik)
   Differs from the pipeline derived uncertainties
- \* Uncertainties were propagated through the line and continuum fitting
- \* Data cube is oversampled, thus, neighboring pixels in the data cubes and subsequent maps are correlated on scales of the original beam size
- \* All the maps have been smoothed to a common spatial resolution for the PDR modeling.
- \* When smoothing the maps, the noise won't scale down as much as if all pixel were uncorrelated.
- \* When propagating the uncertainties to the smoothed maps, they were scaled according to their assumed correlation (Klein, R. 2021, RNAAS, 5, 39)



# PDR modeling with PDR Toolbox

- PDRT provides pre-computed model grids for PDR models and Python code to fit observations
- \* While we used it, there was a web interface.
- We downloaded the model (now available as wk2006) and coded the same fitting algorithm in IDL to fit not one point, but the whole maps (point by point)
- \* The model wk2006 is a one-dimensional face-on model.





Ultraviolet photons from O and B stars strongly influence the structure and emission spectra of the interstellar medium. The UV photons energetic enough to ionize hydrogen  $h\nu > 13.6$ eV will create the H II region around the star, but lower energy UV photons escape. These far-UV photons (6 eV  $< h\nu < 13.6$  eV) are still energetic enough to photodissociate molecules and to ionize low ionization-potential atoms such as carbon, silicon, and sulfur. They thus create a *photodissociation region* (PDR) just outside the H II region. In aggregate, these PDRs dominates the heating and cooling of the neutral interstellar medium. The gas is heated by photo-electrons from grains and cools mostly through far-infrared fine structure lines like [O I] and [C II].

The PDR Toolbox is an open-source, science-enabling tool for the community, designed to help astronomers determine the physical parameters of photodissociation regions from observations. Typical observations of both Galactic and extragalactic PDRs come from ground- and space-based millimeter, submillimeter, and far-infrared telescopes such as ALMA, SOFIA, JWST, Spitzer, and





Intensity maps of L<sub>FIR</sub>, CO(14-13), and [OI]146µm SOFIA Tele-talk May 10, 2023

Only L<sub>FIR</sub> was used as absolute quantity, ratios were input parameters





# PDR Modeling Result

- Hydrogen density map: a clear distinction between
  - \* a high density (~10<sup>6</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) and
- \* a low density (~10<sup>4</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) solution Let's see how this density jump comes about.

The color saturation starts to fade at an uncertainty of 0.2 dex and reaches white at an uncertainty of 1.25 dex.





# Anatomy of the PDR Model

- \* The PDR Toolbox provides predictions of line intensities and ratios as a function of:
  - \* Density *n*
  - \* UV intensity G<sub>0</sub>
- As a simple example: Total infrared intensity
  The IR intensity depends only on *G*<sub>0</sub>,
  because it is assumed that all of the UV radiation is absorbed in the molecular cloud and reemitted in the IR.





# PDR Modeling Result

- Hydrogen density map: a clear distinction between
  - \* a high density (~10<sup>6</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) and
- \* a low density (~10<sup>4</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) solution Let's see how this density jump comes
- about.
  - Let's look at how the solution
     changes between positions 3 and 4

The color saturation starts to fade at an uncertainty of 0.2 dex and reaches white at an uncertainty of 1.25 dex.





# Anatomy of the PDR Model

- \* Solid lines: Where the measured quantity is predicted
- \* Dotted lines: 1sigma error
- Cross and dashed lines: least chi<sup>2</sup> and +1 and +3 contour



red: I<sub>FIR</sub>, green: CO(14  $\rightarrow$  13)/[O I]146 µm, blue: [O I]146µm/I<sub>FIR</sub>



# Anatomy of the PDR Model

CO(14 →13)/
 [OI]146µm is a good density constraint or
 upper limit.

- IFIR and OI/IFIR can have two density solutions.
- Density jump results from
   jumping from one to
   the other solution.

6 Pos. 3 5 ů log<sub>10</sub> 4 3  $\chi^2_{\rm min} = 0.41$ 2 3  $\log_{10}(n/cm^{-3})$ 

red:  $I_{FIR}$  , green: CO(14  $\rightarrow$  13)/[O I]146  $\mu m$  , blue: [O I]146  $\mu m/I_{FIR}$ 





# PDR Modeling Result

- \* The derived UV field follows closely the IR intensity by the models design.
- \* The black contour is actually the IR intensity while the map is *G*<sub>0</sub>.







The low-density solution is only an upper limit to the density in the HII region. SOFIA Tele-talk May 10, 2023

### Why weren't [CII] and [OI]63µm included in the modeling?

- \* Optical depth effects!
- \* The model is face-on, but the M17-SW PDR is edge-on.
- The intensity of potentially optically thick lines as [CII] and [OI]63μm will depend on the depth into the PDR.
- \* Only optically thin lines and the IR intensity were used for the model as they won't be affected by the depth into the cloud.
- But we can compare predictions for the optically thick lines to the observations.



## Predictions vs Observations

### [CII]/[OI]146µm:

- \* ~4x more [CII] rel. to [OI] observed than predicted.
- \* Even when subtracting ubiquitous [CII] foreground emission still ~2x more
- \* The excess [CII] must be from other phases not associated with the PDR (foreground, HII,...)



## Predictions vs Observations

### $[OI]63\mu m / [OI]146\mu m$ :

- \* 63µm line predicted ~30 times stronger than the 146µm line
- \* Observed ratios in the PDR decrease from ~10 to ~1
- \* Plausible scenario: A highly self-absorbed  $63\mu m$ line with increasing optical depth into the PDR
- \* Note: the lower level of  $63\mu$ m line is the ground level, while the lower level of the  $146\mu m$  line is the upper level of the  $63\mu$ m line. Thus no selfabsorption to be expected in the  $146\mu m$  line.







- Is the density jump the location of the ionization and photodissociation front?
- \* The different tracers form layer there.
- \* A clump with M17-UC1 is poking into the HII region
- \* Similar sharp layers seen in infrared H<sub>2</sub> and  $Br_{\gamma}$  observations (Burton et al., 2002)
- \* With these densities and UV fields the distance between both fronts: 10-3pc to 0pc
- \* The front should be between the layers about where the model determined the jump.





### We...

- \* mapped several FIR transitions with FIFI-LS/SOFIA.
- \* derived H density and UV intensity matching literature values.
- \* now have maps (0.2pc resolution).
- \* located the PDR fronts on these maps.
- \* confirm the presence of an irradiated "pillar" in M17-SW.



\* see ubiquitous [CII] emission and optical depth effects in the [OI]63µm line.

