Investigating Accretion Variability Onto Deeply Embedded Protostars

Doug Johnstone:

- Senior Astronomer, NRC Herzberg
- Associate Professor, U. Victoria

With:

- G. Herczeg, KIA, Peking Univ.
- S. Mairs (PhD student), UVic
- J. Lane (undergrad), Uvic
- H. Kirk (RA), Herzberg
- and the international JCMT Transient team

Formation of a (Proto)Star

Stages of Star Formation



Formation of a (Proto)Star

Spectral Energy Distribution Evolution



Observational Constraints

Lifetimes:

 $t_f \sim t_* N_p / N_*$

- Spitzer c2d programme finds that Class O/I lifetime is ~ 0.5 Myr, with 1/3 of these Class O
- Sets typical infall rate

$$M_{dot} \sim 10^{-6} M_{sun} / yr$$





Red: Class O/I; Green: Flat; **Blue: Class II**; Purple: Class III - Dunham et al. 2015 ₄

Observational Constraints

Protostellar Luminosities:



 $L_{acc} \sim G M_* M_{dot}/R_* > L_*$

Luminosity Distribution Functions: Observed (Taurus, c2d) versus models. SIS: M_{dot}~c_s³/G (Shu 77), Constant: M_{dot}~M_{*}



Hartmann et al. 2016

Dunham et al. 2015

Importance of the Disk

Cartoon of accretion/ejection



- Shu (77) SIS model considers free-fall accretion from envelope
- Accretion luminosity depends on accretion rate onto stellar surface
- Beetween envelope and star we expect to have a disk!
- No expectation for steady flow through the disk and onto star

Importance of the Disk



Cartoon of accretion/ejection

HL Tau disk observed with ALMA (rings)



Numerical Simulation of the Disk



Vorobyov & Basu (2006, ApJ, 650, 956)

Gravitational instability shown but also MRI instabilities, etc ...

Radial distance (AU)

Importance of the Inner Disk



A Range of Possible Timescales Probing both location and physics



Hillenbrand & Findeisen 2015

Aside: Variability and Accretion

- Much effort has been invested in determining how majority of mass accreted
 - Steady-state vs. powerful, rare, outbursts
- But, accretion variability is likely much more nuanced than this
 - c.f. earthquakes, meteor impacts
 - Timescale(s)/amplitude(s), process(es)?





Aside: Variability and Accretion

- Much effort has been invested in determining how majority of mass accreted
 - Steady-state vs. powerful, rare, outbursts
- But, accretion variability is likely much more nuanced than this
 - c.f. earthquakes, meteor impacts
 - Timescale(s)/amplitude(s), process(es)?



Protostellar Envelope Toy Model

- Density structure follows SIS inside-out collapse
 - M_{env} = 1.5 M_{sun}
 - $R_{env} = 2 \times 10^4 \text{ AU}$
 - $R_x = 6x10^3 AU$
 - transition from static to infall
- Protostellar mass ~ 0.25 M_{sun}
- Luminosities:
 - $L_{PS} = 1.2 L_{sun}$
 - $L_{acc} = 5 L_{sun}$ (if steady-state: c_s^3/G)
 - $L_{10} = 12 L_{sun}$





Implications of Variable Accretion - I

Temperature profile of the envelope responds to accretion luminosity.



Dusty Model: Ivezic & Elitzur 1997

Implications of Variable Accretion - II

Luminosity of Source higher -> SED shifts to the blue (Warmer)



Dusty Model: Ivezic & Elitzur 1997

Implications of Variable Accretion - III

Dust must be heated to these new temperatures ...



Figure 8.9. The specific heat per gram of dust for silicate (full curve, similar to [Guh89]), graphite (broken curve, after [Cha85]) and PAHs without hydrogen atoms (dotted curve, after [Kru53] using (8.44)).

Guhathakurta & Draine 1989, ApJ, 345, 230

Implications of Variable Accretion - IV

Light propagation time must be taken into account ...







Crossing time at the effective photosphere is \sim day (R_{ph} \sim 100 AU)

Implications of Variable Accretion - V

The observable timescale for variability can be assessed:



Implications of Variable Accretion - VI

What about the gas?

- Gas heat capacity is $C_g \sim k/m_p >> C_d$ and 100 x more mass in gas
- Therefore, time to heat gas >> than time to heat dust
- Assuming effective coupling between gas and dust
 - inner regions (R ~ 10² AU) take ~ 10⁵ s to heat (day)
 - On par with light crossing time
 - intermediate regions ($R\sim 10^3$ AU) take ~ 10^8 s to heat (years)
 - Longer than light crossing time

Mismatch suggests gas may trace history of accretion while dust follows the instantaneous accretion state.

• Visser et al 2015, Jorgensen et al 2015, Frimann et al 2015

Possible Observing Strategies:

- 1. Monitor at short wavelengths (near peak of SED) for variations
 - Maximal change in brightness, shortest delay times
 - Herschel (archive) ~70 microns, SOFIA-HAWC+, CCAT ?
 - Lack of truly appropriate instruments available for this purpose (cadence)
- 2. Monitor at longer wavelengths
 - Large aperture mapping: dominated by outer envelope (JCMT-SCUBA-2)
 - Small aperture pointings: probe photosphere (ALMA)
- 3. Follow (and follow-up) interesting sources with interferometry (ALMA)
 - Both time-dependent and wavelength dependent observations
 - In principle can use reverberation mapping to uncover structure of envelope







EAO/JCMT Transient Survey [150 hrs, 8 fields, 3 yrs, monthly cadence]

<u>Coordinators</u>

Doug Johnstone (co-PI; Canada) Greg Herczeg (co-PI; China) Vivien Chen (Taiwan)

Yuri Aikawa (Japan) Geoff Bower (Taiwan) Jennifer Hatchell (UK) Jeong-Eun Lee (Korea)

65 international team members (9 CA, 13 CN, 4 EAO, 3 JPN, 14 KR, 17 TW, 10 UK)



www.danieimcvey.com acebook:photographybydanieimcvey

Observation Strategy

- Eight regions observed as 30' diameter fields
- Each region observed once per month for three years
 - Just under 25% complete so far
- Monitor for signs of variability across epochs
 - Compare against previous GBS observations (> 5 yr baseline!)
- Co-add epochs to produce deepest sub-mm images of each region
 - Reach extragalactic confusion limit!

| | | SCUBA-2 peak flux/beam | | | Spitzer Sources | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|------|----------|
| Name | Location | > 0.2 Jy | > 0.5 Jy | > 1.0 Jy | Class 0/I | Flat | Class II |
| Perseus - NGC1333 | 032854 + 311652 | 27 | 9 | 5 | 31 | 13 | 57 |
| Perseus - IC348 | 034418 + 320459 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 94 |
| Orion A - $OMC2/3$ | 053531-050038 | 60 | 30 | 17 | 32 | 29 | 158 |
| Orion B - NGC2024 | 054141-015351 | 21 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 87 |
| Orion B - NGC2071 | 054613-000605 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 54 |
| Ophiuchus | 162705-243237 | 26 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 27 | 60 |
| Serpens Main | 182949 + 011520 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 18 | 10 | 47 |
| Serpens South | 183002-020248 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 47 | 30 | 113 |

EAO/JCMT Transient Survey



8 Regions < 500 pc (GBS)

3 Year Survey

182 Protostars, 800 Disk sources One Month Cadence



Run Source-Finder on all observations of the same field.

Determine which sources are in common between observations.

Compare clump centroids and relative brightness between observations.



Run Source-Finder on all observations of the same field. Determine which sources are in common between observations. Compare clump centroids and relative brightness between observations.



Six epochs of IC348 observed over half a year. Left: Before residual offset calibration; Right: after applying offset.

Compare Brightness of Clumps Over Time: First Calibrate



Seven epochs of IC348:

Compare Brightness of Clumps Over Time: Sources



Obs 1

Obs 2

Obs 3

Obs 4

Obs 5

Obs 6

Obs 7

A variety of ensemble metrics suggest we'll reach 3 sigma ~ 10%



Variability of Deeply Embedded Protostars

- The mass of a protostar grows through (variable) accretion of the natal envelope
 - Mediated, probably, by a complex circumstellar disk
- The luminosity of the protostar will react predictably to this varying accretion
 - hours to years, set by light crossing time
- This variability should be monitored
 - A direct probe of the underlying (disk) physics
- SOFIA can play important role here!



For further information read: Johnstone et al. 2013, 765, 133

Compare Brightness of Clumps Over Time



Time (days)