# Suggestions for Expanding the Science Capability of HAWC+

C. Darren Dowell Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology July 27, 2020

© 2020 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

# Outline

- brief introduction to HAWC+
- upgrade wish list
- requirements & optical constraints for upgraded detectors
- suitable far-IR detectors
- need for a large-area polarization mapping mode
- polarized atomic lines



### HAWC+ Upgrade Wish List



• BLAST-TNG kinetic inductance detector arrays

M. Gordon, SOFIA Instrument Roadmap Workshop #1 (June 2020)

USF

26

#### HAWC+ Upgrade Wish List



M. Gordon, SOFIA Instrument Roadmap Workshop #1 (June 2020)

#### HAWC+ Optics



#### Detector Performance Requirements for Upgraded HAWC+

	Band A 53 μm	Band B 62 μm	Band C 89 μm	Band D 154 μm	Band E 214 μm
pixel angular size on sky (responsive area)	(2.2´´)² (0.5 λ/D)	(3.5´´)²	(3.5´´)² (0.5 λ/D)	(6.1´´)² (0.5 λ/D)	(8.3´´)² (0.5 λ/D)
pixel physical size (existing optics)	(1.0 mm) <sup>2</sup>				
quantum efficiency	$\geq 50\%$				
NEP (1/2 of typical flight photon noise, single pol.)	$\leq 3 \times 10^{-16}$ W Hz <sup>-1/2</sup>		$\leq 3 \times 10^{-16}$ W Hz <sup>-1/2</sup>	$\leq 2 \times 10^{-16}$ W Hz <sup>-1/2</sup>	$\leq$ 1×10 <sup>-16</sup> W Hz <sup>-1/2</sup>
background power (typical flight, single pol.)	50 pW		60 pW	60 pW	30 pW
saturation power (lab operation, single pol.)	$\geq$ 100 pW		$\geq$ 120 pW	$\geq$ 110 pW	$\geq$ 70 pW
number of operating detectors	$\geq$ 1850 × improvement factor				

- Operating temperature can be 0.17 K or higher with existing ADR cooler.
- Also worth considering: larger pixel angular size, with corresponding higher saturation power and NEP and lower minimum pixel count.

2020 July 27

assuming 50% Q.E.

#### HAWC+ Field of View



8

#### HAWC+ Constraints on Field of View



Figure 4. HAWC 89 µm Cold Optical Layout.



#### HAWC+ TES Detectors and Upgrade Ideas

32×40 "BUG" array:

- Transition-Edge Sensors (300 mK) - from NASA/GSFC, using SQUID MUX from NIST

2 side abut-able
Designed for 50%
absorption through far-IR
Intricate and clever
engineering – worth
reading about (e.g.,
HAWC+ instrument
paper, Harper+ '18)



- "R1" has ~50% yield, larger 1/f noise of unknown origin, and no "polarization mate".

These two arrays ("R0" and "T0") map to same place on the sky, in opposite polarizations.
Together, ~1850 operating pixels (72% yield)

#### HAWC+ TES Detectors and Upgrade Ideas

32×40 "BUG" array:

- Transition-Edge Sensors (300 mK) - from NASA/GSFC, using SQUID MUX from NIST

2 side abut-able
Designed for 50%
absorption through far-IR
Intricate and clever
engineering – worth
reading about (e.g.,
HAWC+ instrument
paper, Harper+ '18)



- "R1" has ~50% yield, larger 1/f noise of unknown origin, and no "polarization mate".

These two arrays ("R0" and "T0") map to same place on the sky, in opposite polarizations.
Together, ~1850 operating pixels (72% yield)

There is space for a 4<sup>th</sup> array throughout
 the HAWC+ system. 1064 wires total to detectors!

- While you're at it...

The low-level ghost image associated with the edge of the aperture could likely be reduced.

# Photoconductors

- (Earlier presentation by J. Pipher, wavelength range to ~40  $\mu m.)$
- More challenging at long-wavelength side of HAWC+ range.
   FIFI-LS long-wavelength array, 25 x 16 pixels:



- Perhaps an expert can give status of photoconductors out to 200  $\mu m$  during the Q&A following this presentation.

# **Kinetic Inductance Detectors**

- No KID implementation is ready for HAWC+ use, but there are some advantages if detectors requiring development are under consideration:
  - Much lower wire count per array permits *pixel count well beyond HAWC+*.
  - Dual-polarization sensing in one focal plane is ideal and has been demonstrated at  $\lambda \ge 250 \ \mu m$  in BLAST-TNG instrument.



BLAST-TNG 250 μm array, 1836 pixels (not shown: feedhorn array)

from www.nist.gov/programs-projects/novel-devices



#### chop reference beams / need for method of large-area mapping



- HAWC+ measures polarization and intensity by chopping (differencing) vs. two reference positions <= 8 arcminutes away.
- Polarization at reference positions is unknown.
  - Systematic uncertainty estimated using Novak+ '97, Schleuning '98, Dotson+ '00
- We need a method of mapping large areas with HAWC+ beyond 8 arcminutes.

#### chop reference beams / need for method of large-area mapping



- HAWC+ measures polarization and intensity by chopping (differencing) vs. two reference positions <= 8 arcminutes away.
- Polarization at reference positions is unknown.
  - Systematic uncertainty estimated using Novak+ '97, Schleuning '98, Dotson+ '00
- We need a method of mapping large areas with HAWC+ beyond 8 arcminutes.

# Challenge of Mapping Large Areas

- Sources of signal at the detector:
  - astrophysical (polarized) intensity, diminished by variable atmosphere
  - variable atmospheric emission, polarized by tertiary & window
  - response to detector temperature variations, including some uncorrelated between the two arrays
- 10 Hz **chopping** eliminates the thermal response, but mixes points on the sky (albeit in a straightforward way).
  - Could be "bootstrapped" to double or triple the chop.
  - For efficiency in mapping large areas, could replace nodding with scanning.
- Scan-only mapping is vulnerable to thermal response and can mix points on the sky in more subtle ways.
  - Challenging to correctly recover the large-scale astrophysical emission.
  - Simulation needed to understand the spatial filtering.



# **Polarized Atomic Lines**

- $R \approx 300$  surveys in far-IR fine-structure lines with 1000's of pixels could contribute to overall SOFIA effort to measure these important lines.
  - Furthermore, lines may be polarized and trace magnetic fields.
- narrow-band filters: fixed-tuned Fabry-Perot, added to optics carousel
- detector NEP requirement: 3×10<sup>-17</sup> W Hz<sup>-1/2</sup>
- Continuum subtraction via observations in the R ≈ 5 filter; needs good relative calibration.



# Conclusions

- At least two appealing paths for detector upgrade:
  - full implementation of HAWC+ TES 4×32×40 design goal beyond the 2×32×40 baseline
  - technology development of far-IR dual-polarization
     KIDs, especially to field 1000's of pixels at 53 and 89
     μm
    - Expansion of field of view may need enlargement of optics.
- Science needs and instrument sensitivity motivate the development of a good large-area mapping mode.