Suggestions for Expanding the Science Capability of HAWC+

C. Darren Dowell Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology July 27, 2020

© 2020 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Outline

- brief introduction to HAWC+
- upgrade wish list
- requirements & optical constraints for upgraded detectors
- suitable far-IR detectors
- need for a large-area polarization mapping mode
- polarized atomic lines

HAWC+ Upgrade Wish List

M. Gordon, *SOFIA Instrument Roadmap Workshop #1* (June 2020)

USI

26

HAWC+ Upgrade Wish List

M. Gordon, *SOFIA Instrument Roadmap Workshop #1* (June 2020)

HAWC+ Optics

Detector Performance Requirements for Upgraded HAWC+

- Operating temperature can be 0.17 K or higher with existing ADR cooler.
- Also worth considering: larger pixel angular size, with corresponding higher saturation power and NEP and lower minimum pixel count.

assuming 50% Q.E. assuming 50% Q.E.

HAWC+ Field of View

HAWC+ Constraints on Field of View

Figure 4. HAWC 89 µm Cold Optical Layout.

HAWC+ TES Detectors and Upgrade Ideas

32×40 "BUG" array:

- Transition-Edge Sensors (300 mK) - from NASA/GSFC, using SQUID MUX from **NIST**

- 2 side abut-able - Designed for 50% absorption through far-IR - Intricate and clever engineering – worth reading about (e.g., HAWC+ instrument paper, Harper+ '18)

- "R1" has ~50% yield, larger 1/f noise of unknown origin, and no "polarization mate".

- These two arrays ("R0" and "T0") map to same place on the sky, in opposite polarizations. - Together, ~1850 operating pixels (72% yield)

HAWC+ TES Detectors and Upgrade Ideas

32×40 "BUG" array:

- Transition-Edge Sensors (300 mK) - from NASA/GSFC, using SQUID MUX from **NIST**

- 2 side abut-able - Designed for 50% absorption through far-IR - Intricate and clever engineering – worth reading about (e.g., HAWC+ instrument paper, Harper+ '18)

- "R1" has ~50% yield, larger 1/f noise of unknown origin, and no "polarization mate".

- These two arrays ("R0" and "T0") map to same place on the sky, in opposite polarizations. - Together, ~1850 operating pixels (72% yield)

- There is space for a $4th$ array throughout the HAWC+ system. 1064 wires total to detectors!

- While you're at it…

The low-level ghost image associated with the edge of the aperture could likely be reduced.

Photoconductors

- (Earlier presentation by J. Pipher, wavelength range to \sim 40 $µm.$)
- More challenging at long-wavelength side of HAWC+ range. – FIFI-LS long-wavelength array, 25 x 16 pixels:

• Perhaps an expert can give status of photoconductors out to 200 µm during the Q&A following this presentation.

Kinetic Inductance Detectors

- No KID implementation is ready for HAWC+ use, but there are some advantages if detectors requiring development are under consideration:
	- Much lower wire count per array permits *pixel count well beyond HAWC+*.
	- *Dual-polarization sensing in one focal plane is ideal* and has been demonstrated at $\lambda \ge 250$ µm in BLAST-TNG instrument.

BLAST-TNG 250 µ*m array, 1836 pixels* (not shown: feedhorn array)

from www.nist.gov/programs-projects/novel-devices

chop reference beams / need for method of large-area mapping

- HAWC+ measures polarization and intensity by chopping (differencing) vs. two reference positions <= 8 arcminutes away.
- Polarization at reference positions is unknown.
	- Systematic uncertainty estimated using Novak+ '97, Schleuning '98, Dotson+ '00
- We need a method of mapping large areas with HAWC+ beyond 8 arcminutes.

chop reference beams / need for method of large-area mapping

- HAWC+ measures polarization and intensity by chopping (differencing) vs. two reference positions <= 8 arcminutes away.
- Polarization at reference positions is unknown.
	- Systematic uncertainty estimated using Novak+ '97, Schleuning '98, Dotson+ '00
- We need a method of mapping large areas with HAWC+ beyond 8 arcminutes.

Challenge of Mapping Large Areas

- Sources of signal at the detector:
	- **astrophysical (polarized) intensity**, diminished by variable atmosphere
	- variable atmospheric emission, polarized by tertiary & window
	- response to detector temperature variations, including some uncorrelated between the two arrays
- 10 Hz **chopping** eliminates the thermal response, but mixes points on the sky (albeit in a straightforward way).
	- Could be "bootstrapped" to double or triple the chop.
	- For efficiency in mapping large areas, could replace nodding with scanning.
- **Scan-only mapping** is vulnerable to thermal response and can mix points on the sky in more subtle ways.
	- Challenging to correctly recover the large-scale astrophysical emission.
	- Simulation needed to understand the spatial filtering.

Polarized Atomic Lines

- $R \approx 300$ surveys in far-IR fine-structure lines with 1000's of pixels could contribute to overall SOFIA effort to measure these important lines.
	- *Furthermore, lines may be polarized and trace magnetic fields.*
- narrow-band filters: fixed-tuned Fabry-Perot, added to optics carousel
- detector NEP requirement: 3×10**-17** W Hz-1/2
- Continuum subtraction via observations in the $R \approx 5$ filter; needs good relative calibration.

Conclusions

- At least two appealing paths for detector upgrade:
	- full implementation of HAWC+ TES 4×32×40 design goal beyond the 2×32×40 baseline
	- technology development of far-IR dual-polarization KIDs, especially to field 1000's of pixels at 53 and 89 µm
		- Expansion of field of view may need enlargement of optics.
- Science needs and instrument sensitivity motivate the development of a good large-area mapping mode.